

U.S. Department of Education Office for Civil Rights

Dr. Miguel Cardona Secretary of Education, Office for Civil Rights U.S. Department of Education 400 Maryland Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20202 Phone (202) 401 – 3000 ocr@ed.gov

Catherine Lhamon, J.D. Ass't Secretary, Office for Civil Rights U.S. Department of Education 400 Maryland Avenue, SW Washington, D.C. 20202 - 1100 <u>Catherine.lhamon@ed.gov</u>

Suzanne B. Goldberg, J.D. Acting Ass't Secretary, Office for Civil Rights U.S. Department of Education 400 Maryland Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20202 - 1100 <u>Suzanne.goldberg@ed.gov</u>

ADMINISTRATIVE CLASS COMPLAINT

COMPLAINANT

Champion Women Nancy Hogshead, J.D., OLY, CEO EMAIL: <u>Hogshead@ChampionWomen.org</u>

RECIPIENT

Adelphi University Danny McCabe, Director of Athletics 1 South Avenue Suite 304, Garden City, NY 11530 EMAIL: <u>dmccabe@adelphi.edu</u>

Christine M. Riordan, President 1 South Avenue Suite 304, Garden City, NY 11530 EMAIL: mmogollon@adelphi.edu

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. This complaint is filed by *Champion Women*, pursuant to Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, 20 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq*. ("Title IX") and the regulations and policies promulgated thereunder. *See* 34 C.F.R. § 106 *et seq*. Title IX prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex in federally funded education programs and activities, including athletics.

2. *Champion Women* is a 501(c)(3) that provides legal advocacy for girls and women in sports.

3. As detailed in the Factual and Legal Allegations below, data submitted by Adelphi University ("Adelphi") to the Office of Postsecondary Education of the U.S. Department of Education pursuant to the Equity in Athletics Disclosure Act ("EADA"), 20 U.S.C. § 1092, as well as information collected from Adelphi's website indicates that Adelphi is discriminating on the basis of sex by providing women with unequal athletic participation opportunities, unequal athletic scholarship dollars, and unequal treatment and benefits, including athletic recruitment funding.

4. In order to address these disparities, *Champion Women* requests that the Office for Civil Rights ("OCR") investigate Adelphi to determine whether Adelphi is discriminating against women in its athletic department, providing women equal opportunities to participate in varsity sports, equal opportunities to earn athletic scholarships, and equivalent treatment and benefits, including recruitment funding as required by Title IX and, if not, to remedy any unlawful conduct.

II. JURISDICTION

5. The OCR is responsible for ensuring compliance with Title IX and receiving information about, investigating, and remedying violations of Title IX and its implementing regulations and guidelines. 34 C.F.R. §§ 106.71, 100.7.

6. *Champion Women* has not filed this complaint with any other agency or institution.

7. As Adelphi currently violates Title IX's athletic equity requirements, this complaint is timely.

III. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

8. Adelphi receives federal financial assistance and is therefore prohibited from discriminating on the basis of sex pursuant to Title IX.

9. Data submitted by Adelphi to the U.S. Department of Education pursuant to the EADA that is publicly available on the Office of Postsecondary Education website for academic years 2003-04 through 2021-2022 indicates that Adelphi is discriminating against its women students, by not currently, or in the past, providing female athletes equal opportunities to participate in athletics under Title IX's three-part participation test.

	Undergraduate Enrollment						Athletic Participation		
Year	Men	Women	Total	Percent Women	Men	Women Prong 2: This # should Rise Continuousl y and Steadily Over Time, Without Going Backwards	Total	Percent Women	Female Athletes Who Would Need to be Added to Achieve
2003-04	1026	2402	3428	70.07%	149	131	280	46.79%	218
2004-05	1110	2637	3747	70.38%	137	134	271	49.45%	191
2005-06	1155	2806	3961	70.84%	156	137	293	46.76%	242
2006-07	1210	2935	4145	70.81%	149	173	322	53.73%	188
2007-08	1249	2944	4193	70.21%	171	176	347	50.72%	227
2008-09	1313	2912	4225	68.92%	172	192	364	52.75%	189
2009-10	1305	2988	4293	69.60%	166	184	350	52.57%	196
2010-11	1331	3001	4332	69.28%	198	207	405	51.11%	239
2011-12	1410	3063	4473	68.48%	224	211	435	48.51%	276
2012-13	1390	3134	4524	69.27%	238	226	464	48.71%	311
2013-14	1392	3132	4524	69.23%	234	209	443	47.18%	318
2014-15	1403	3173	4576	69.34%	221	221	442	50.00%	279
2015-16	1403	2997	4400	68.11%	215	209	424	49.29%	250
2016-17	1489	3248	4737	68.57%	239	221	460	48.04%	300
2017-18	1522	3336	4858	68.67%	225	223	448	49.78%	270
2018-19	1571	3472	5043	68.85%	218	202	420	48.10%	280
2019-20	1543	3507	5050	69.45%	250	221	471	46.92%	347
2020-21	1480	3342	4822	69.31%	155	90	245	36.73%	260
2021-22	1501	3421	4922	69.50%	290	239	529	45.18%	422

10. *Champion Women* has edited the EADA data in just one instance: to remove male practice players who are counted up in the tally as "women". <u>https://titleixschools.com/</u> These male practice players are not female athletes and have therefore been subtracted from the totals in the EADA.¹

¹ See *Champion Women* website for every college and university receiving federal funds: <u>https://titleixschools.com/2023/05/20/eada-data/</u> High school data for these schools receiving federal funds is available here: <u>https://titleixschools.com/2023/06/06/check-your-high-school/</u>

11. Adelphi does not and has not provided athletic opportunities to female students in numbers substantially proportionate to their enrollment pursuant to part one of the Title IX participation test. Women are 69.5% of the student body, but just 45.18% of the student-athletes.

12. In 2021-2022, the most recent academic year for which EADA data is available that is not corrupted by disruptions related to Covid-19, Adelphi's participation gap was 422 athletes. In other words, Adelphi must add 422 additional athletic opportunities for women in order to provide athletic opportunities substantially proportionate to enrollment; 422 additional opportunities is enough to add almost an entire athletic department; Adelphi currently only has 198 women athletes. Assuming an average women's sports team carries a roster of 25 athletes – some more and some less – Adelphi would need to add almost 17 new teams for women.

13. The EADA data and evidence gathered on Adelphi's website show that Adelphi does not have a history and continuing practice of expanding athletic participation opportunities for women pursuant to Prong 2 of the Title IX participation test. See column "Women" under "Athletic Participation" above. The number of women athletes does not consistently increase over time.

14. Adelphi first backslid in 2009 and again in 2013, when it cut 17 women. In 2012 Adelphi offered 226 women with athletic opportunities. Adelphi did not hit that same number until 9 years later in the most recent year, 2021. Meanwhile, the percentage of women attending Adelphi did not change much; approximately 70% women in the student body seems to be the norm throughout all of the years in the EADA. *Champion Women* cannot know the 28-year history of adding sports between 1975 and 2003, but Adelphi cannot show a history of continuous program expansion that is demonstratively responsive to women's growing interest in sports of all kinds.

15. A review of Adelphi's website did not show any policy or procedure for adding new sports or elevating existing club sports to varsity status. Nor did it reveal any athletic gender equity plan, or any gender equity committee.

16. Adelphi sponsors 8 men's varsity sports (Baseball, Basketball, Golf, Track & Field, Lacrosse, Soccer, Swimming & Diving, Tennis) serving 227 men, and 11 women's varsity sports (Basketball, Bowling, Field Hockey, Golf, Track & Field, Lacrosse, Soccer, Softball, Swimming & Diving, Tennis, and Volleyball) serving 198 women.

17. Information available on Adelphi's website suggests that the university is not accommodating the interests and abilities of female athletes as required by part three of the Title IX participation test.

18. Adelphi women participate in the club sports of dance, fencing, equestrian, and ultimate frisbee and table tennis. The existence of these women's club teams indicates that there is unmet interest in women's athletics at Adelphi.

19. Adelphi is a member of the Northeast-10 Conference. Members hail from New York, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Vermont. Almost every woman's sport will have collegiate competitors in that higher-education-dense geographic region.

20. Members of the East Coast Conference Northeast-10 Conference also compete in Gymnastics, Ice Hockey, Rowing, Triathlon, and Skiing. These other sports demonstrate that women have interests in these sports and that competition for these women's sports exists in the competitive geographic area.

21. High School Girls in New York and the surrounding areas compete in high school and club sports in Wrestling, Badminton, Table Tennis, Team Handball, Sailing, Field Hockey, Fencing, Swimming & Diving, Water Polo, Archery, Field Hockey, Rifle, Triathlon, Ice Hockey, Sport Climbing, Artistic Swimming, Gymnastics, Rowing, and Rugby, and all sorts of combat sports, like Judo, Karate, and Taekwondo, to name a few. Girls and women have expressed their interest and ability to participate in additional sports.

22. Rugby, Equestrian, Triathlon, and Competitive Cheer are NCAA emerging sports. There are 163 college women's Wrestling programs, 42 NCAA schools sponsor Triathlon, 26 colleges sponsor women's varsity Equestrian, and at least 32 colleges currently sponsor women's varsity Rugby.

23. Sport Governing Bodies and the NCAA, for member schools, make it very easy to see where other competitors are located. The NCAA publishes the "NCAA Sports Sponsorship and Participation Rates Report, 1956 - 2022" for schools to find competitors within the school's normal competitive region: NCAA Sports Sponsorship and Participation Rates Report.²

² See

https://ncaaorg.s3.amazonaws.com/research/sportpart/2022RES SportsSponsorshipParticipationRatesReport.pdf See individual sports' governing bodies for more, e.g., Women's College Rugby: https://www.urugby.com/teams/womens-teams Collegiate Equestrian: https://collegiateequestrian.com/sports/2020/5/6/schools.aspx Women's Collegiate Wrestling: https://wrestlelikeagirl.org/college-opportunities Collegiate Competitive Cheer Teams: https://www.ncsasports.org/cheerleading/colleges (not to be confused with sideline cheerleading) Women's Collegiate Triathlon: https://www.usatriathlon.org/multisport/ncaa-triathlon Collegiate Sailing is governed by the Inter-Collegiate Sailing Association (ICSA) with 220 schools: https://www.collegesailing.org/about/overview Women's Collegiate Ice Hockey: https://www.uscho.com/teams/#d1women Collegiate Field Hockey: https://www.teamusa.org/USA-Field-Hockey/PLAYERS/College/Team-Websites Collegiate Synchronized/ Artistic Swimming: https://www.collegexpress.com/lists/list/colleges-withnotable-synchronized-swimming-teams/581/ Collegiate Bowling - https://collegebowling.bowl.com/teams Collegiate Rifle - https://competitions.nra.org/competitions/nra-national-matches/collegiatechampionships/collegiate-shooting-sports-directory/ Collegiate Skiing – https://www.uscsa.org/ Collegiate Water Polo - https://collegiatewaterpolo.org/ Collegiate Women's Gymnastics https://www.ncaa.com/sports/gymnastics-women

24. A review of the Adelphi website does not reveal that Adelphi undertook any athletic interest surveys or other research into interest and competition to support the addition of women's varsity sports. While surveys are never sufficient to deny women sports opportunities, they are often helpful in determining *which sports* the school should add.

25. The failure to provide women with equal opportunities to play impacts the availability of athletic scholarship dollars for women. These are important sources of funding for educational attainment that women are being denied because of their sex. If Adelphi provided its male and female students with the same opportunities to participate, Adelphi would need to add **\$1,958,606** additional athletic scholarship dollars, to balance out the amount Adelphi provides to its male students.

26. If, for some reason, the OCR determines that Adelphi is, in fact, not discriminating against women in providing opportunities in sport, the ratio used is the student-athletes, instead of the student body, no more scholarship dollars would be required.

27. Adelphi's EADA data further indicates that the university provides inadequate and unequal funding for the recruitment of female athletes. In 2021-2022, Adelphi spent \$12,882 on men's recruitment and only \$6,236 on women's recruitment; women were allocated only 32.62 percent of the recruiting budget even though they account for 46.59 percent of the athletic population and 69.50 percent of the full-time undergraduate population. Adelphi needs to give its women's teams – and women's coaches – an additional **\$23,123** in recruiting dollars to be equivalent to the budget given to the men's teams.

28. If, for some reason, the OCR determines that Adelphi is, in fact, not discriminating against women in providing opportunities in sport, Adelphi would still need to provide its women's sports teams – and women's coaches – with **\$2,670** more recruiting dollars in order to be consistent with the school's investment in men's teams.

IV. LEGAL ALLEGATIONS

29. Title IX provides that "[n]o person in the United States shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance." 20 U.S.C. §1681(a).

30. Title IX regulations prohibit athletic programs from discriminating on the basis of sex in interscholastic, intercollegiate, club or intramural athletics offered by the institution. 34 C.F.R. §106.41(a) (2000).

31. Title IX regulations require institutions that offer athletics programs to provide equal athletic opportunities to members of both sexes to participate in athletics. 34 C.F.R. \$106.41(c)(1).

32. Pursuant to the 1979 Title IX Policy Interpretation, compliance with Title IX's equal athletic participation requirement is measured by determining whether the educational institution meets one part of the following three-part test:

- 1. Prong 1: Whether intercollegiate level participation opportunities for male and female students are provided in numbers substantially proportionate to their respective enrollments; or
- 2. Prong 2: Where the members of one sex have been and are underrepresented among intercollegiate athletes, whether the institution can show a history and continuing practice of program expansion which is demonstrably responsive to the developing interests and abilities of the members of that sex; or
- 3. Prong 3: Where the members of one sex are underrepresented among intercollegiate athletes, and the institution cannot show a history and continuing practice of program expansion, as described above, whether it can be demonstrated that the interests and abilities of the members of that sex have been fully and effectively accommodated by the present program.

United States Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Office for Civil Rights, *Policy Interpretation; Title IX and Intercollegiate Athletics*, 44 Fed. Reg. 71413 (Dec. 11, 1979) [hereinafter *Policy Interpretation*].

33. In determining substantial proportionality under part one of the three-part test, OCR considers the number of opportunities that would have to be added to achieve proportionality and whether it would be sufficient to support another team. If there are a significant number of unaccommodated women, it is likely that a viable sport could be added and therefore the institution has not satisfied part one of the three-part test. United States Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, *Clarification of Intercollegiate Athletics Policy Guidance: the Three-Part Test* (Jan. 16, 1996) [hereinafter *1996 Clarification*].

34. In 1975, schools were given three years to be in compliance with the equal participation mandate under Title IX. Schools had only one year to end sex-discrimination in all other areas of Title IX's non-discrimination mandate. Only athletics gave schools three years to add athletic opportunities and to stop discriminating against women – or until 1978. That deadline passed 45 years ago. 34 C.F.R. \$106.41(d).³

³ 34 C.F.R. §106.41(d) "*Adjustment period*. A recipient which operates or sponsors interscholastic, intercollegiate, club or intramural athletics at the elementary school level shall comply fully with this section as *Champion Women*, Sex Discrimination in Athletics in Violation of Title IX, 2023

It is worth noting how odd Prong 2 is as a legal test, in comparison to other nondiscrimination civil rights. For a school to be able to argue that it is still playing catch-up with non-discrimination – exists nowhere else in law. This is especially true 51 years after the passage of Title IX, and 48 years after the passage of the regulations OCR depends on, regulations that were passed by Congress. When a school uses Prong 2, it is admitting that it is not providing women with equal educational opportunities, but that their actions are acceptable, because the school is "improving." Title IX's Prong 2 allows schools to provide girls and women with less.

35. <u>Therefore, it is understandable that Prong 2 is a strict legal test.</u> In determining whether an institution has a history and continuing practice of expansion under Prong 2 of the three-part test, OCR reviews the entire history of the athletic program and evaluates whether the institution has expanded participation opportunities for the underrepresented sex in a manner that was demonstrably responsive to their developing interests and abilities, considering a number of factors, including:

- an institution's record of adding intercollegiate teams, or upgrading teams to intercollegiate status, for the underrepresented sex;
- an institution's record of increasing the numbers of participants in intercollegiate athletics who are members of the underrepresented sex;
- an institution's affirmative responses to requests by students or others for addition or elevation of sports; and
- whether the institution has effective ongoing procedures for collecting, maintaining and analyzing information on the interest and abilities of students of the underrepresented sex, including monitoring athletic participation, and assessing interest and ability on a periodic basis.

United States Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, *Intercollegiate Athletics Policy Clarification: The Three-Part Test- Part Three* (Apr. 20, 2010) [hereinafter 2010 Clarification]; 1996 Clarification.

36. In determining whether an institution has a continuing practice of program expansion under Prong 2, OCR will consider a number of factors, including:

- an institution's current implementation of a nondiscriminatory policy or procedure for requesting the addition of sports (including the elevation of club or intramural teams) and the effective communication of the policy or procedure to students;
- an institution's current implementation of a plan of program expansion that is responsive to developing interests and abilities; and

expeditiously as possible but in no event later than one year from the effective date of this regulation. A recipient which operates or sponsors interscholastic, intercollegiate, club or intramural athletics at the secondary or post-secondary school level shall comply fully with this section as expeditiously as possible but in no event later than three years from the effective date of this regulation."

• an institution's efforts to monitor developing interests and abilities of the underrepresented sex, for example, by conducting periodic nondiscriminatory assessments of developing interests and abilities and taking timely actions in response to the results.

2010 Clarification, 1996 Clarification.

37. OCR will not find a history and continuing practice of program expansion where an institution increases the proportional participation opportunities for the underrepresented sex by reducing opportunities for the overrepresented sex alone or by reducing participation opportunities for the overrepresented sex to a proportionately greater degree than for the underrepresented sex. *2010 Clarification, 1996 Clarification.*

38. Courts have found that schools must have both a history *and* continuing practice of expanding opportunities for women for Prong 2 compliance. *Mansourian v. Bd. Of Regents of Univ. of Cal.*, 594 F. 3d 1095, 1108. (9th Cir. Cal. 2010).

39. Prong 3 of the three-part test requires an examination of whether there is an unmet interest in a particular sport, a sufficient ability to sustain a team in the sport, and a reasonable expectation for competition for a team in the sport. *2010 Clarification*, *1996 Clarification*.

40. Whether there is unmet interest and ability will be determined by examining a broad range of indicators, including whether the institution uses nondiscriminatory methods of assessing interest and ability, the elimination of a viable team for the unrepresented sex, multiple indicators of interest and ability, and frequency of conducting assessments. *2010 Clarification*.

41. Sufficient interest can be established by student requests to add a sport or elevate a club sport, increases in club or intramural sport participation, responses to interviews and interest surveys, assessments of student athletic participation before entering the institution or in the secondary schools from which the university draws its students, and assessments of participation in intercollegiate sports in the institution's normal competitive regions. *Id.*

42. Ability may be established by the athletic accomplishments and competitive experience of club sports and admitted students, the opinions of coaches, administrators, and athletes, and student participation in other sports. *Id*.

43. Expectation of competition may be established through athletic opportunities offered by other schools with which the school competes or opportunities at other schools in the school's geographic area, including those against which the institution does not compete. *Id*.

44. Under Prong 3 of the three-part test, OCR will also examine an institution's recruitment practices. If an institution recruits potential student-athletes for its men's teams, OCR will examine whether the institution is providing women's teams with substantially equal opportunities to recruit potential student-athletes. *Id*.

45. Title IX also requires schools to provide equal scholarship dollars, in proportion to the number of students of each sex participating in athletics. 34 C.F.R. §106.37(c) (2000). NCAA limits on scholarships per team is not a legal defense; schools cannot blame a third party for sex discrimination; recipients are responsible for equality. Schools choose which sports to sponsor, and some schools have chosen scholarship-dense sports for men, such as football and basketball, and scholarship-light sports for women, such as track.

46. Title IX also requires equal opportunity in the recruitment of student-athletes where equal athletic opportunities are not present for male and female students. Compliance will be assessed by examining the recruitment practices of the athletic programs for both sexes and evaluating whether the financial and other resources made available for recruitment in male and female athletic programs are equivalently adequate to meet the needs of each program. *1979 Policy Interpretation.*

47. Title IX requires schools to provide women with equal treatment, including equipment and supplies; game and practice times; travel and per diem allowances; coaching and academic tutoring; assignment and compensation of coaches and tutors; locker rooms, practice, and competitive facilities; medical and training facilities and services; housing and dining facilities and services; and publicity. 34 C.F.R. §106.41(a) (2000), *Policy Interpretation*.

48. Lack of money is not a legal defense to sex discrimination. *See, e.g., Roberts Colorado State Univ.*, 814 F. Supp. 1507, 1518 (D. Colo. 1993) ("[A] financial crisis cannot justify gender discrimination."); *Favia v Indiana University of Pennsylvania*, 812 F. Supp. 578, 585 (W.D. Pa. 1993) (finding that financial concerns alone cannot justify gender discrimination); *Cook v. Colgate University*, 802 F. Supp. 737, 750 (1992) ("[I]f schools could use financial concerns as a sole reason for disparity of treatment, Title IX would become meaningless."); *Haffer v. Temple*, 678 F. Supp. 517, 520 (1987) (finding that financial concerns alone cannot justify gender discrimination).

49. Monies and in-kind benefits from third-party sources, such as donors, sponsorships, television rights, ticket sales, and student fees, are not a defense to a sex discrimination charge, whether those resources were used to build facilities, fund scholarships, provide equipment and uniforms, or any other benefit of sports participation. None of those sources of money creates a legal defense against sex discrimination. Schools must ensure that their students are not receiving second-class educational opportunities because they are female. See 20 U.S.C. § 1687 (2005); See Office for Civil Rights, *Department of Education, Further Clarification of Intercollegiate Athletics Policy Guidance Regarding Title IX Compliance* (June 11, 2003), *Cohen v. Brown Univ.*, 809 F. Supp. 978, 996 (D.R.I. 1992) (concluding that "all monies spent by Brown's Athletic Department, whether originating from university coffers or from the Sports Foundation [booster club] must be evaluated as a whole under § 106.41(c)")

50. It is *Champion Women's* experience that most athletes and former athletes are acutely aware of all the ways they are treated as second-class within their athletics department, because they are women. As NIL monies become more available, equal promotion and publicity

will be important for female athletes. *Champion Women* asked current collegiate athletes what equality would look like under this list. Here's what the athletes came up with:

- i. Men's and Women's sports would be equally featured, with equal prominence, on school and Athletic Department websites and social media.
- ii. Schools would invest equally in cameras and production equipment for Women's and Men's sports.
- iii. Schools would optimize Google searches for their Men's and Women's teams to receive equal search results.
- iv. Women's and Men's sports would employ an equal caliber of talent in their sports information and marketing departments, and they would be paid and promoted equally.
- v. Women's and Men's sports jerseys, apparel and memorabilia would be equally and easily accessible.
- vi. The needs of Women's teams would not revolve around Men's football and men's basketball teams.
- vii. The Women's and Men's teams would have equal access to dining halls, nutrition, etc. at times equally convenient to both teams.
- viii. Men's and Women's teams would have equal access to optimal practice times when they share facilities.
- ix. Men's and Women's sports marketing efforts would focus on performance; Broadcasters and schools would not focus on a woman athlete's appearance or sexuality.
- x. Women's and Men's medical care and athletic training access would be equal; Neither male nor female athletes would have priority accessing these resources.
- xi. Schools would hire competition officials of the same quality, with equal compensation, for the Women's and Men's teams.
- xii. Men's and Women's sports performance staff would be equal and would be paid and promoted comparably.
- xiii. Schools would intentionally use language that equally prioritizes Men's and Women's sports.
 - 1. Teams would be referred to as "Women's Basketball" and "Men's Basketball."
 - 2. "Basketball" would not be used to refer to Men's Basketball.
 - Schools would have Social Media handles that referred to men's and women's teams; "Oregon Soccer" would be changed to "Oregon Men's Soccer."

51. Adelphi's own data, as outlined in the Factual Allegations above, demonstrate that it is not providing equal opportunities for its female students to participate in sports under Title IX's three-part participation test, in addition to scholarship, treatment and recruitment requirements.

V. RELIEF REQUESTED

52. *Champion Women* requests that OCR:

- a. <u>Investigate</u> Adelphi University to determine whether it is discriminating against women; whether it is providing female students with equal participation opportunities in athletics, equal athletic scholarship dollars, and equal treatment and benefits.
- b. Additional violations are expected to be found. Champion Women asks the OCR to take all necessary steps to <u>remedy</u> any sex discrimination and unlawful conduct that you identify in your investigation, as required by Title IX and its implementing regulations. When violations are found, secure assurances of compliance with Title IX from Adelphi, that include full remedies for all the violations found.
- c. Among other steps to achieve compliance with Title IX, require Adelphi to add more athletic opportunities for women, accord to additional teams and athletes the full range of benefits accorded to men's varsity teams and athletes, increase recruiting budgets and opportunities, and adopt and implement a plan to achieve compliance with Title IX.
- d. <u>Monitor</u> any resulting agreement with Adelphi University to ensure that the school achieves compliance with Title IX, now and in the future.

I give OCR my consent to disclose my name and Champion Women's name contained in this letter to others for OCR's investigation of, and enforcement activities related to, the Discrimination Complaint.



Nancy Hogshead, J.D., OLY September 16, 2023