

U.S. Department of Education Office for Civil Rights

Dr. Miguel Cardona
Secretary of Education, Office for Civil Rights
U.S. Department of Education
400 Maryland Avenue, SW
Washington, DC 20202
Phone (202) 401 – 3000
ocr@ed.gov

Catherine Lhamon, J.D.
Ass't Secretary, Office for Civil Rights
U.S. Department of Education
400 Maryland Avenue, SW
Washington, D.C. 20202 - 1100
Catherine.lhamon@ed.gov

Suzanne B. Goldberg, J.D.
Acting Ass't Secretary, Office for Civil Rights
U.S. Department of Education
400 Maryland Avenue, SW
Washington, DC 20202 - 1100
Suzanne.goldberg@ed.gov

ADMINISTRATIVE CLASS COMPLAINT

COMPLAINANT

Champion Women

Nancy Hogshead, J.D., OLY, CEO

EMAIL: Hogshead@ChampionWomen.org

RECIPIENT

Ohio University
Dr. Lori Gonzalez, President
1 Ohio University, Athens, OH 45701

EMAIL: president@ohio.edu

Ohio University Julie Cromer, Director of Athletics 1 Ohio University, Athens, OH 45701

EMAIL: athleticsdir@ohio.edu

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. This complaint is filed by *Champion Women*, pursuant to Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, 20 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.* ("Title IX") and the regulations and policies promulgated thereunder. *See* 34 C.F.R. § 106 *et seq.* Title IX prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex in federally funded education programs and activities, including athletics.
- 2. Champion Women is a 501(c)(3) that provides legal advocacy for girls and women in sports.
- 3. As detailed in the Factual and Legal Allegations below, data submitted by Ohio University ("Ohio") to the Office of Postsecondary Education of the U.S. Department of Education pursuant to the Equity in Athletics Disclosure Act ("EADA"), 20 U.S.C. § 1092, as well as information collected from Ohio's website indicates that Ohio is discriminating on the basis of sex by providing women with unequal athletic participation opportunities, unequal athletic scholarship dollars, and unequal treatment and benefits, including athletic recruitment funding.
- 4. In order to address these disparities, *Champion Women* requests that the Office for Civil Rights ("OCR") investigate Ohio to determine whether it is providing women equal opportunities to participate in varsity sports, equal opportunities to earn athletic scholarships, and equivalent treatment and benefits, including recruitment funding as required by Title IX and, if not, to remedy any unlawful conduct.

II. JURISDICTION

- 5. The OCR is responsible for ensuring compliance with Title IX and receiving information about, investigating, and remedying violations of Title IX and its implementing regulations and guidelines. 34 C.F.R. §§ 106.71, 100.7.
- 6. *Champion Women* has not filed this complaint with any other agency or institution.
- 7. As Ohio currently violates Title IX's athletic equity requirements, this complaint is timely.

III. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. Ohio receives federal financial assistance and is therefore prohibited from discriminating on the basis of sex pursuant to Title IX.
- 9. Data submitted by Ohio to the U.S. Department of Education pursuant to the EADA that is publicly available on the Office of Postsecondary Education website for academic

years 2003-04 through 2021-2022 indicates that Ohio is not currently and has not in the past been providing female athletes equal opportunities to participate in athletics under Title IX's three-part participation test.

	Undergraduate Enrollment					Athletic Participation			
Year	Men	Women	Total	Percent Women	Men	Women Prong 2: This # should Rise Continuously and Steadily Over Time, Without Going Backwards	Total	Percent Women	Female Athletes Who Would Need to be Added to Achieve Equity
2003-04	7481	8572	16053	53.40%	335	253	588	43.03%	131
2004-05	7517	8462	15979	52.96%	361	256	617	41.49%	150
2005-06	7685	8409	16094	52.25%	359	258	617	41.82%	135
2006-07	8105	8457	16562	51.06%	372	319	691	46.16%	69
2007-08	8220	8424	16644	50.61%	246	278	524	53.05%	-26
2008-09	8046	8158	16204	50.35%	238	275	513	53.61%	-34
2009-10	8118	8379	16497	50.79%	245	253	498	50.80%	0
2010-11	8177	8923	17100	52.18%	254	249	503	49.50%	28
2011-12	8167	8945	17112	52.27%	246	250	496	50.40%	19
2012-13	7936	8919	16855	52.92%	241	242	483	50.10%	29
2013-14	8111	8621	16732	51.52%	234	255	489	52.15%	-6
2014-15	8145	8841	16986	52.05%	232	228	460	49.57%	24
2015-16	8293	9062	17355	52.22%	233	244	477	51.15%	11
2016-17	8296	9498	17794	53.38%	238	253	491	51.53%	19
2017-18	8230	9380	17610	53.27%	250	240	490	48.98%	45
2018-19	7723	9245	16968	54.48%	232	247	479	51.57%	31
2019-20	7223	8915	16138	55.24%	232	204	436	46.79%	82
2020-21	6400	8240	14640	56.28%	235	262	497	52.72%	41
2021-22	6284	7984	14268	55.96%	242	267	509	52.46%	40

10. Champion Women has edited the EADA data on our website https://titleixschools.com/ in just one instance: to remove male practice players who are counted up in the tally as "women". These male practice players are not female athletes and have therefore been subtracted from the totals in the EADA. 1

¹ See *Champion Women* website for every college and university receiving federal funds: https://titleixschools.com/2023/05/20/eada-data/ High school data for these schools receiving federal funds is available here: https://titleixschools.com/2023/06/06/check-your-high-school/

- 11. Ohio does not and has not provided athletic opportunities to female students in numbers substantially proportionate to their enrollment pursuant to part one of the Title IX participation test. Women are 55.96% of the student body, but just 52.46% of the student athletes.
- 12. In 2021-2022, the most recent academic year for which EADA data is available that is not corrupted by disruptions related to Covid-19, Ohio's participation gap was 40 athletes. In other words, Ohio must add 40 additional athletic opportunities for women in order to provide athletic opportunities substantially proportionate to enrollment; 40 additional opportunities is enough to add almost two additional women's sports teams; Ohio currently only has 208 women athletes in its Athletic Department.
- 13. The EADA data and evidence gathered on Ohio's website show that Ohio does not have a history and continuing practice of expanding athletic participation opportunities for women pursuant to Prong 2 of the Title IX participation test. See column "Women" under "Athletic Participation" above. The number of women athletes does not consistently increase over time.
- 14. Over the 19 academic years covered by the available EADA data, Ohio achieved a total net loss of 49 women's athletic opportunities through the first 17 years. Ohio had a high of 319 women in 2006-2007, and then never achieved that again. In 2014, Ohio provided women with 228 athletic opportunities, or a loss of 91 women's athletic opportunities.

It is significant that in this time frame the men's teams did not suffer losses.

Champion Women cannot know the 28-year history of adding sports between 1975 and 2003, but Ohio cannot show a history of continuous program expansion that is demonstratively responsive to women's growing interest in sports of all kinds.

- 15. A review of Ohio's website did not show any policy or procedure for adding new sports or elevating existing club sports to varsity status. Nor did it reveal any athletic gender equity plan, or any gender equity committee.
- 16. Ohio sponsors 6 men's varsity sports (Baseball, Basketball, Cross Country, Football, Golf, Wrestling) providing opportunities for 242 men, and 9 women's varsity sports (Basketball, Cross Country, Field Hockey, Golf, Soccer, Softball, Swimming & Diving, Track and Field, and Volleyball) 208 women.
- 17. Information available on Ohio's website suggests that the university is not accommodating the interests and abilities of female athletes as required by part three of the Title IX participation test.
- 18. Ohio women participate in the club sports of Archery, Basketball, Crew, Dodgeball, Equestrian Hunt, Equestrian Western, Fencing, Golf, Gymnastics, Ice Hockey, Kendo, Lacrosse, MMA, Quidditch, Rugby, Sailing, Soccer, Softball, Swimming, Synchronized Ice Skating, Taekwondo, Tennis, Ultimate Frisbee, Volleyball, Water Polo and Water Ski Badminton, Beach Volleyball, Equestrian, Flag Football, and Water Polo, *Campus Recreation*,

Ohio, 2023 (last visited July 28, 2023). The existence of these women's club teams indicates that there is unmet interest in women's athletics at Ohio.

- 19. Sport Governing Bodies and the NCAA, for member schools, make it very easy to see where other competitors are located. The NCAA publishes the "NCAA Sports Sponsorship and Participation Rates Report, 1956 2022" for schools to find competitors within the school's normal competitive region: NCAA Sports Sponsorship and Participation Rates Report.²
- 20. Ohio University is in the Mid-American Athletic Conference. Schools in this conference include University of Akron, Bowling Green State University, University at Buffalo, Kent State, Miami University, Ohio University, Ball State University, Central Michigan University, Eastern Michigan University, Northern Illinois University, University of Toledo, Western Michigan University. A number of universities in the Mid-American Conference, covering Ohio, Michigan, Illinois, and within the competitive region have Gymnastics, Lacrosse, Tennis and Wrestling teams, making competition viable.
- 21. The Mid-American Athletic Conference sponsors women's championship sports of Gymnastics, Lacrosse, and Tennis. These championship teams demonstrate women's interest in these sports and that competition for these women's sports exists in the competitive geographic area.
- 22. Members of the Mid-American Athletic Conference sponsor women's Rifle, Rowing and Synchronized Skating. These three women's teams demonstrate women's interest in

https://ncaaorg.s3.amazonaws.com/research/sportpart/2022RES_SportsSponsorshipParticipationRatesReport.pdf See individual sports' governing bodies for more, e.g.,

Women's College Rugby: https://www.urugby.com/teams/womens-teams

Collegiate Equestrian: https://collegiateequestrian.com/sports/2020/5/6/schools.aspx

Women's Collegiate Wrestling: https://wrestlelikeagirl.org/college-opportunities

Collegiate Competitive Cheer Teams: https://www.ncsasports.org/cheerleading/colleges (not to be confused with sideline cheerleading)

Women's Collegiate Triathlon: https://www.usatriathlon.org/multisport/ncaa-triathlon

Collegiate Sailing is governed by the Inter-Collegiate Sailing Association (ICSA) with 220 schools: https://www.collegesailing.org/about/overview

Women's Collegiate Ice Hockey: https://www.uscho.com/teams/#d1women

 $Collegiate\ Field\ Hockey: \underline{https://www.teamusa.org/USA-Field-Hockey/PLAYERS/College/Team-Websites}$

Collegiate Synchronized/ Artistic Swimming: https://www.collegexpress.com/lists/list/colleges-with-

notable-synchronized-swimming-teams/581/

Collegiate Bowling - https://collegebowling.bowl.com/teams

Collegiate Rifle - https://competitions.nra.org/competitions/nra-national-matches/collegiate-championships/collegiate-shooting-sports-directory/

Collegiate Skiing – https://www.uscsa.org/

Collegiate Water Polo - https://collegiatewaterpolo.org/

Collegiate Women's Gymnastics https://www.ncaa.com/sports/gymnastics-women

² See

these sports and that competition for these women's sports exists in the competitive geographic area.

- 23. High school-age girls in the competitive geographic region compete in both school and club sports. These sports include Wrestling, Badminton, Table Tennis, Team Handball, Sailing, Field Hockey, Fencing, Swimming & Diving, Skateboarding, Water Polo, Archery, Field Hockey, Rifle, Triathlon, Ice Hockey, Sport Climbing, Artistic Swimming, Skiing, Snowboarding, Gymnastics, Rowing, Wrestling, Rugby, and all sorts of combat sports, like Judo, Karate, and Taekwondo, to name a few. Ohio University does not sponsor any of these sports, despite these girls expressing interest and ability in these sports.
- 24. Rugby, Equestrian, and Triathlon are NCAA emerging sports. There are 163 college women's Wrestling programs, 42 NCAA schools sponsor Triathlon, 26 colleges sponsor women's varsity Equestrian, and at least 32 colleges currently sponsor women's varsity Rugby.
- 25. A review of the Ohio website does not reveal that Ohio undertook any athletic interest surveys or other research into interest and competition to support the addition of women's varsity sports. While surveys are never sufficient to deny women sports opportunities, they are often helpful to determine *which sports* the school should add.
- 26. The failure to provide women with equal opportunities to play impacts the availability of athletic scholarship dollars for women. These are important sources of funding for educational attainment that women are being denied because of their sex. If Ohio provided its male and female students with the same opportunities to participate, Ohio would need to add \$3,158,669 additional athletic scholarship dollars to balance out the amount Ohio provides to its male students.
- 27. If the OCR determines that Ohio is providing women with equal opportunities in sport, and the ratio used is the student-athletes, instead of the student body, Ohio University would still need to add \$970,355 more scholarship dollars.
- 28. Ohio's EADA data further indicates that the university provides inadequate and unequal funding for recruitment of female athletes. In 2021-2022, Ohio spent \$406,538 on men's recruitment and only \$105,540 on women's recruitment; women were allocated only 21 percent of the recruiting budget even though they account for 52.46 percent of the athletic population and 55.96 percent of the full-time undergraduate population. If Ohio provided its male and female students with the same opportunities to participate, Ohio would need to add \$407,978 additional athletic recruiting dollars to balance out the amount Ohio provides to its male students.
- 29. If the OCR determines that Ohio is providing women with equal opportunities in sport, and the ratio used is the student-athletes, instead of the student body, Ohio University would still need to add \$129,540 more recruiting dollars.

IV. LEGAL ALLEGATIONS

- 30. Title IX provides that "[n]o person in the United States shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance." 20 U.S.C. §1681(a).
- 31. Title IX regulations prohibit athletic programs from discriminating on the basis of sex in interscholastic, intercollegiate, club or intramural athletics offered by the institution. 34 C.F.R. §106.41(a) (2000).
- 32. Title IX regulations require institutions that offer athletics programs to provide equal athletic opportunities to members of both sexes to participate in athletics. 34 C.F.R. §106.41(c)(1).
- 33. Pursuant to the 1979 Title IX Policy Interpretation, compliance with Title IX's equal athletic participation requirement is measured by determining whether the educational institution meets one part of the following three-part test:
 - 1. Prong 1: Whether intercollegiate level participation opportunities for male and female students are provided in numbers substantially proportionate to their respective enrollments; or
 - 2. Prong 2: Where the members of one sex have been and are underrepresented among intercollegiate athletes, whether the institution can show a history and continuing practice of program expansion which is demonstrably responsive to the developing interests and abilities of the members of that sex; or
 - 3. Prong 3: Where the members of one sex are underrepresented among intercollegiate athletes, and the institution cannot show a history and continuing practice of program expansion, as described above, whether it can be demonstrated that the interests and abilities of the members of that sex have been fully and effectively accommodated by the present program.

United States Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Office for Civil Rights, *Policy Interpretation; Title IX and Intercollegiate Athletics*, 44 Fed. Reg. 71413 (Dec. 11, 1979) [hereinafter *Policy Interpretation*].

34. In determining substantial proportionality under part one of the three-part test, OCR considers the number of opportunities that would have to be added to achieve proportionality and whether it would be sufficient to support another team. If there are a significant number of unaccommodated women, it is likely that a viable sport could be added and

therefore the institution has not satisfied part one of the three-part test. United States Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, *Clarification of Intercollegiate Athletics Policy Guidance:* the Three-Part Test (Jan. 16, 1996) [hereinafter 1996 Clarification].

35. In 1975, schools were given three years to be in compliance with the equal participation mandate under Title IX. Schools had only one year to end sex-discrimination in all other areas of Title IX's non-discrimination mandate. Only athletics gave schools three years to add athletic opportunities and to stop discriminating against women – or until 1978. That deadline passed 45 years ago. 34 C.F.R. §106.41(d).³

It is worth noting how odd Prong 2 is as a legal test, in comparison to other non-discrimination civil rights. For a school to be able to argue that it is still playing catch-up with non-discrimination – exists nowhere else in law. This is especially true 51 years after the passage of Title IX, and 48 years after the passage of the regulations OCR depends on, regulations that were passed by Congress. When a school uses Prong 2, it is admitting that it is not providing women with equal educational opportunities, but that their actions are acceptable, because the school is "improving." Title IX's Prong 2 allows schools to provide girls and women with less.

- 36. Therefore, it is understandable that Prong 2 is a strict legal test. In determining whether an institution has a history and continuing practice of expansion under Prong 2 of the three-part test, OCR reviews the entire history of the athletic program and evaluates whether the institution has expanded participation opportunities for the underrepresented sex in a manner that was demonstrably responsive to their developing interests and abilities, considering a number of factors, including:
 - an institution's record of adding intercollegiate teams, or upgrading teams to intercollegiate status, for the underrepresented sex;
 - an institution's record of increasing the numbers of participants in intercollegiate athletics who are members of the underrepresented sex;
 - an institution's affirmative responses to requests by students or others for addition or elevation of sports; and
 - whether the institution has effective ongoing procedures for collecting, maintaining and analyzing information on the interest and abilities of students of the underrepresented sex, including monitoring athletic participation, and assessing interest and ability on a periodic basis.

United States Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, *Intercollegiate Athletics Policy Clarification: The Three-Part Test- Part Three* (Apr. 20, 2010) [hereinafter 2010 Clarification]; 1996 Clarification.

³ 34 C.F.R. §106.41(d) "Adjustment period. A recipient which operates or sponsors interscholastic, intercollegiate, club or intramural athletics at the elementary school level shall comply fully with this section as expeditiously as possible but in no event later than one year from the effective date of this regulation. A recipient which operates or sponsors interscholastic, intercollegiate, club or intramural athletics at the secondary or post-secondary school level shall comply fully with this section as expeditiously as possible but in no event later than three years from the effective date of this regulation."

- 37. In determining whether an institution has a continuing practice of program expansion under Prong 2, OCR will consider a number of factors, including:
 - an institution's current implementation of a non-discriminatory policy or procedure for requesting the addition of sports (including the elevation of club or intramural teams) and the effective communication of the policy or procedure to students;
 - an institution's current implementation of a plan of program expansion that is responsive to developing interests and abilities; and
 - an institution's efforts to monitor developing interests and abilities of the underrepresented sex, for example, by conducting periodic nondiscriminatory assessments of developing interests and abilities and taking timely actions in response to the results.

2010 Clarification, 1996 Clarification.

- 38. OCR will not find a history and continuing practice of program expansion where an institution increases the proportional participation opportunities for the underrepresented sex by reducing opportunities for the overrepresented sex alone or by reducing participation opportunities for the overrepresented sex to a proportionately greater degree than for the underrepresented sex. 2010 Clarification, 1996 Clarification.
- 39. Courts have found that schools must have both a history *and* continuing practice of expanding opportunities for women for Prong 2 compliance. *Mansourian v. Bd. Of Regents of Univ. of Cal.*, 594 F. 3d 1095, 1108. (9th Cir. Cal. 2010).
- 40. Prong 3 of the three-part test requires an examination of whether there is an unmet interest in a particular sport, a sufficient ability to sustain a team in the sport, and a reasonable expectation for competition for a team in the sport. 2010 Clarification, 1996 Clarification.
- 41. Whether there is unmet interest and ability will be determined by examining a broad range of indicators, including whether the institution uses non-discriminatory methods of assessing interest and ability, the elimination of a viable team for the unrepresented sex, multiple indicators of interest and ability, and frequency of conducting assessments. 2010 Clarification.
- 42. Sufficient interest can be established by student requests to add a sport or elevate a club sport, increases in club or intramural sport participation, responses to interviews and interest surveys, assessments of student athletic participation before entering the institution or in the secondary schools from which the university draws its students, and assessments of participation in intercollegiate sports in the institution's normal competitive regions. *Id*.
- 43. Ability may be established by the athletic accomplishments and competitive experience of club sports and admitted students, the opinions of coaches, administrators, and athletes, and student participation in other sports. *Id*.

- 44. Expectation of competition may be established through athletic opportunities offered by other schools with which the school competes or opportunities at other schools in the school's geographic area, including those against which the institution does not compete. *Id.*
- 45. Under Prong 3 of the three-part test, OCR will also examine an institution's recruitment practices. If an institution recruits potential student-athletes for its men's teams, OCR will examine whether the institution is providing women's teams with substantially equal opportunities to recruit potential student-athletes. *Id*.
- 46. Title IX also requires schools to provide equal scholarship dollars, in proportion to the number of students of each sex participating in athletics. 34 C.F.R. §106.37(c) (2000). NCAA limits on scholarships per team is not a legal defense; schools cannot blame a third-party for sex discrimination; recipients are responsible for equality. Schools choose which sports to sponsor, and some schools have chosen scholarship-dense sports for men, such as football and basketball, and scholarship-light sports for women, such as track.
- 47. Title IX also requires equal opportunity in the recruitment of student-athletes where equal athletic opportunities are not present for male and female students. Compliance will be assessed by examining the recruitment practices of the athletic programs for both sexes and evaluating whether the financial and other resources made available for recruitment in male and female athletic programs are equivalently adequate to meet the needs of each program. 1979 *Policy Interpretation*.
- 48. Title IX requires schools to provide women with equal treatment, including equipment and supplies; game and practice times; travel and per diem allowances; coaching and academic tutoring; assignment and compensation of coaches and tutors; locker rooms, practice, and competitive facilities; medical and training facilities and services; housing and dining facilities and services; and publicity. 34 C.F.R. §106.41(a) (2000), *Policy Interpretation*.
- 49. Lack of money is not a legal defense to sex discrimination. *See, e.g., Roberts Colorado State Univ.*, 814 F. Supp. 1507, 1518 (D. Colo. 1993) ("[A] financial crisis cannot justify gender discrimination."); *Favia v Indiana University of Pennsylvania*, 812 F. Supp. 578, 585 (W.D. Pa. 1993) (finding that financial concerns alone cannot justify gender discrimination); *Cook v. Colgate University*, 802 F. Supp. 737, 750 (1992) ("[I]f schools could use financial concerns as a sole reason for disparity of treatment, Title IX would become meaningless."); *Haffer v. Temple*, 678 F. Supp. 517, 520 (1987) (finding that financial concerns alone cannot justify gender discrimination).
- 50. Monies and in-kind benefits from third-party sources, such as donors, sponsorships, television rights, ticket sales, and student fees, are not a defense to a sex discrimination charge, whether those resources were used to build facilities, fund scholarships, provide equipment and uniforms, or any other benefit of sports participation. None of those sources of money creates a legal defense against sex discrimination. Schools must ensure that their students are not receiving second-class educational opportunities because they are female. See 20 U.S.C. § 1687 (2005); See Office for Civil Rights, *Department of Education, Further*

Clarification of Intercollegiate Athletics Policy Guidance Regarding Title IX Compliance (June 11, 2003), Cohen v. Brown Univ., 809 F. Supp. 978, 996 (D.R.I. 1992) (concluding that "all monies spent by Brown's Athletic Department, whether originating from university coffers or from the Sports Foundation [booster club] must be evaluated as a whole under § 106.41(c)")

- 51. It is *Champion Women's* experience that most athletes and former athletes are acutely aware of all the ways they are treated as second-class within their athletics department, because they are women. As NIL monies become more available, equal promotion and publicity will be important for female athletes. *Champion Women* asked current collegiate athletes what equality would look like under this list. Here's what the athletes came up with:
 - i. Men's and Women's sports would be equally featured, with equal prominence, on school and Athletic Department websites and social media.
 - ii. Schools would invest equally in cameras and production equipment for Women's and Men's sports.
 - iii. Schools would optimize Google searches for their Men's and Women's teams to receive equal search results.
 - iv. Women's and Men's sports would employ an equal caliber of talent in their sports information and marketing departments, and they would be paid and promoted equally.
 - v. Women's and Men's sports jerseys, apparel, and memorabilia would be equally and easily accessible.
 - vi. The needs of Women's teams would not revolve around Men's football and men's basketball teams.
 - vii. The Women's and Men's teams would have equal access to dining halls, nutrition, etc. at times equally convenient to both teams
 - viii. Men's and Women's teams would have equal access to optimal practice times when they share facilities.
 - ix. Men's and Women's sports marketing efforts would focus on performance; Broadcasters and schools would not focus on a woman athlete's appearance or sexuality.
 - x. Women's and Men's medical care and athletic training access would be equal; Neither male nor female athletes would have priority accessing these resources.
 - xi. Schools would hire competition officials of the same quality, with equal compensation, for the Women's and Men's teams.
 - xii. Men's and Women's sports performance staff would be equal and would be paid and promoted comparably.
 - xiii. Schools would intentionally use language that equally prioritizes Men's and Women's sports.
 - 1. Teams would be referred to as "Women's Basketball" and "Men's Basketball."

- 2. "Basketball" would not be used to refer to Men's Basketball.
- 3. Schools would have Social Media handles that referred to men's and women's teams; "Oregon Soccer" would be changed to "Oregon Men's Soccer."
- 52. Ohio's own data, as outlined in the Factual Allegations above, demonstrate that it is not providing equal opportunities for its female students to participate in sports under Title IX's three-part participation test, in addition to scholarship, treatment and benefits, and recruitment requirements.

V. RELIEF REQUESTED

- 53. *Champion Women* expects OCR investigators will find additional violations of the law. *Champion Women* requests that OCR:
 - a. <u>Investigate</u> Ohio University to determine whether it is discriminating against women students; whether the school is providing female students with equal participation opportunities in athletics, equal and proportionate athletic scholarship dollars, and receiving equal treatment and benefits, including equal recruiting budgets.
 - b. Take all necessary steps to <u>remedy</u> any unlawful conduct that you identify in your investigation, as required by Title IX and its implementing regulations. Secure assurances of compliance with Title IX from Ohio University, that include full remedies for all the violations found.
 - c. Among other steps to achieve compliance with Title IX, require Ohio University to add more athletic opportunities for women, accord to additional teams and athletes the full range of benefits accorded to men's varsity teams and athletes, increase recruiting budgets and opportunities, scholarship dollars, and adopt and implement a plan to achieve compliance with Title IX.
 - d. <u>Monitor</u> any resulting agreement with Ohio University to ensure that the school achieves compliance with Title IX, now and in the future.

I give the OCR my consent to disclose my name and *Champion Women's* name contained in this letter to others for OCR's investigation of, and enforcement activities related to, the Discrimination Complaint.

Nancy Hogshead, J.D., OLY

September 17, 2023