

U.S. Department of Education Office for Civil Rights

Dr. Miguel Cardona
Secretary of Education, Office for Civil Rights
U.S. Department of Education
400 Maryland Avenue, SW
Washington, DC 20202
Phone (202) 401 – 3000
ocr@ed.gov

Catherine Lhamon, J.D.
Ass't Secretary, Office for Civil Rights
U.S. Department of Education
400 Maryland Avenue, SW
Washington, D.C. 20202 - 1100
Catherine.lhamon@ed.gov

Suzanne B. Goldberg, J.D.
Acting Ass't Secretary, Office for Civil Rights
U.S. Department of Education
400 Maryland Avenue, SW
Washington, DC 20202 - 1100
Suzanne.goldberg@ed.gov

ADMINISTRATIVE CLASS COMPLAINT

COMPLAINANT

Champion Women

Nancy Hogshead, J.D., OLY, CEO

EMAIL: Hogshead@ChampionWomen.org

RECIPIENT

Troy University Jack Hawkins, Jr., Ph.D., Chancellor 216 Adams Administration Building Troy, AL 36082

Email: tomdavis@Troy.edu

Brent Jones, Athletic Director Tine Davis Fieldhouse 5000 Veterans Stadium Drive Troy, Alabama 36082 EMAIL:brentjones@Troy.edu

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. This complaint is filed by *Champion Women*, pursuant to Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, 20 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.* ("Title IX") and the regulations and policies promulgated thereunder. *See* 34 C.F.R. § 106 *et seq.* Title IX prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex in federally funded education programs and activities, including athletics.
- 2. Champion Women is a 501(c)(3) that provides legal advocacy for girls and women in sports.
- 3. As detailed in the Factual and Legal Allegations below, data submitted by Troy University, ("Troy") to the Office of Postsecondary Education of the U.S. Department of Education pursuant to the Equity in Athletics Disclosure Act ("EADA"), 20 U.S.C. § 1092, as well as information collected from Troy's website indicates that Troy is discriminating on the basis of sex by providing women with unequal athletic participation opportunities, unequal athletic scholarship dollars, and unequal treatment and benefits, including athletic recruitment funding.
- 4. In order to address these disparities, *Champion Women* requests that the Office for Civil Rights ("OCR") investigate Troy to determine whether it is providing women equal opportunities to participate in varsity sports, equal opportunities to earn athletic scholarships, and equivalent treatment and benefits, including recruitment funding as required by Title IX and, if not, to remedy any unlawful conduct.

II. JURISDICTION

- 5. The OCR is responsible for ensuring compliance with Title IX and receiving information about, investigating, and remedying violations of Title IX and its implementing regulations and guidelines. 34 C.F.R. §§ 106.71, 100.7.
- 6. *Champion Women* has not filed this complaint with any other agency or institution.
- 7. As Troy currently violates Title IX's athletic equity requirements, this complaint is timely.

III. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. Troy receives federal financial assistance and is therefore prohibited from discriminating on the basis of sex pursuant to Title IX.
- 9. Data submitted by Troy to the U.S. Department of Education pursuant to the EADA that is publicly available on the Office of Postsecondary Education website for academic

years 2003-04 through 2021-2022 indicates that Troy is not currently and has not in the past been investing in women's sport the way it has been supporting male students in athletics. Troy is not providing female athletes equal opportunities to participate in athletics, provided equal scholarship dollars or recruiting dollars – as compared with Troy's treatment of male athletes - under Title IX's three-part participation test.

	Undergraduate Enrollment					Athletic Participation			
Year	Men	Women	Total	Percent Women	Men	Women Prong 2: This # should Rise Continuously and Steadily Over Time, Without Going Backwards	Total	Percent Women	Female Athletes Who Would Need to be Added to Achieve Equity
2003-04	3678	3722	7400	50.30%	260	117	377	31.03%	146
2004-05	3988	4257	8245	51.63%	253	149	402	37.06%	121
2005-06	3331	5064	8395	60.32%	273	171	444	38.51%	244
2006-07	9026	11043	20069	55.03%	315	178	493	36.11%	207
2007-08	8400	11898	20298	58.62%	288	133	421	31.59%	275
2008-09	3904	6327	10231	61.84%	240	168	408	41.18%	221
2009-10	4227	6839	11066	61.80%	271	185	456	40.57%	253
2010-11	4399	6635	11034	60.13%	264	191	455	41.98%	207
2011-12	4031	6814	10845	62.83%	275	227	502	45.22%	238
2012-13	3663	5927	9590	61.80%	257	215	472	45.55%	201
2013-14	3602	5507	9109	60.46%	260	215	475	45.26%	183
2014-15	3463	5551	9014	61.58%	307	210	517	40.62%	282
2015-16	3530	5427	8957	60.59%	264	212	476	44.54%	194
2016-17	3711	5618	9329	60.22%	267	198	465	42.58%	206
2017-18	3790	5682	9472	59.99%	299	192	491	39.10%	256
2018-19	3542	5584	9126	61.19%	254	210	464	45.26%	190
2019-20	3281	5406	8687	62.23%	270	192	462	41.56%	253
2020-21	3029	5139	8168	62.92%	267	208	475	43.79%	245
2021-22	2625	4776	7401	64.53%	253	187	440	42.50%	273

10. Champion Women has edited the EADA data on our website https://titleixschools.com/ in just one instance: to remove male practice players who are counted up in the tally as "women". These male practice players are not female athletes and have therefore been subtracted from the totals in the EADA.

¹ See *Champion Women* website for every college and university receiving federal funds: https://titleixschools.com/2023/05/20/eada-data/ High school data for these schools receiving federal funds is available here: https://titleixschools.com/2023/06/06/check-your-high-school/

- 11. Troy does not and has not provided athletic opportunities to female students in numbers substantially proportionate to their enrollment pursuant to part one of the Title IX participation test. Women are 64.53% of the student body, but just 42.5% of the student-athletes.
- 12. In 2021-2022, the most recent academic year for which EADA data is available that is not corrupted by disruptions related to Covid-19, Troy's participation gap was 273 athletes. In other words, Troy is favoring men, when it provides the educational opportunity called sports; and equality requires Troy to add 273 additional athletic opportunities for women in order to provide athletic opportunities substantially proportionate to enrollment. To put this enormous gap in context, 273 additional opportunities is enough to add an entire athletic department; Troy currently only has 139 women athletes. If an average team is 25 women athletes some more and some less then Troy would need to add 11 new women's sports teams.
- 13. The EADA data and evidence gathered on Troy's website show that Troy does not have a history and continuing practice of expanding athletic participation opportunities for women pursuant to Prong 2 of the Title IX participation test. See column "Women" under "Athletic Participation" above. The number of women athletes does not consistently increase over time.
- 14. Troy has not added a new women's sport since 2007 when it added varsity women's rodeo, which was discontinued after 2014. Discontinuing a women's sport will disqualify a school from claiming compliance under Prong 2.
- 15. Over the 19 years covered by the EADA data, Troy's opportunities for women have gone up and down. Between 2006 and 2007, Troy removed 45 women's opportunities. Troy reached its maximum number of women's opportunities in 2011, with 227 women athletes. Today Troy is offering women 40 fewer athletic opportunities, at 187. The gap between men's and women's opportunities has never been lower than 120 women's opportunities. *Champion Women* cannot know the 28-year history of adding sports between 1975 and 2003, but Troy cannot show a history of continuous program expansion that is demonstratively responsive to women's growing interest in sports of all kinds.
- 16. A review of Troy's website did not show any policy or procedure for adding new sports or elevating existing club sports to varsity status. Nor did it reveal any athletic gender equity plan or any gender equity committee.
- 17. Troy sponsors 6 men's varsity sports (Baseball, Basketball, Track and Field, Football, Golf, Tennis) providing opportunities for 243 men, and 7 women's varsity sports (Basketball, Track and Field, Golf, Soccer, Softball, Tennis, and Volleyball) providing opportunities for 139 women.

- 18. Information available on Troy's website suggests that the university is not accommodating the interests and abilities of female athletes as required by part three of the Title IX participation test.
- 19. Troy has a thriving club sport program. Women participate in the club sports of Badminton, Bass Fishing, Wrestling, Rugby, Gymnastics, and Ultimate Frisbee. The existence of these club teams indicates that there is unmet interest in women's athletics at Troy.
- 20. Troy University is a member of the Sunbelt Conference, which includes within its competitive region Appalachian State, Arkansas State, Coastal Carolina University, Georgia Southern, Georgia State, James Madison, University of Louisiana Lafayette, University of Louisiana Monroe, Marshall University, Old Dominion, University of South Alabama, University of Southern Mississippi, and Texas State. Troy's normal competitive geographic region will not preclude it from adding almost any women's sports.
- 21. The Sunbelt Conference hosts a championship in women's Beach Volleyball, and Troy does not offer the sport. These championship teams demonstrate women's interest in these sports and that competition for these women's sports exists in the competitive geographic area.
- 22. Other schools in the Sunbelt Conference offer Bowling, Field Hockey, Lacrosse, Rifle, Rowing, Sailing. These six additional women's teams demonstrate women's interest in these sports, their ability to compete, and that competition for these women's sports exists in the competitive geographic area.
- 23. High school-age girls in Alabama https://www.nfhsnetwork.com/states/AL compete in Badminton, Bass Fishing, Bowling, Fencing, Flag Football, Lacrosse, Swimming & Diving, Weightlifting and Wrestling.

In the competitive geographic region where Troy competes and recruits its students, girls participate in all sorts of club sports, or sports not associated with schools. Other sports include Table Tennis, Team Handball, Sailing, Field Hockey, Fencing, Swimming & Diving, Skateboarding, Water Polo, Archery, Field Hockey, Rifle, Triathlon, Ice Hockey, Sport Climbing, Artistic Swimming, Skiing, Snowboarding, Gymnastics, Rowing, Rugby, and all sorts of combat sports, like Judo, Karate, and Taekwondo, to name a few.

According to NFHS data, girls participate in sports in high numbers across the country: Track and Field leads the way for girls with 486,355 participants, followed by Volleyball (470,488), Basketball (373,366), Soccer (377,838), Softball (377,838), Tennis (191,036), Swimming & Diving (191,036), and Lacrosse (98,014).²

Meanwhile, NCAA schools sponsor far fewer opportunities, roughly less than a tenth of the athletic opportunities: Track and Field (30,425), Volleyball (17,610), Basketball (16,090),

² See, NFHS, High School Athletics Participation Survey, Conducted By the National Federation of State High School Associations; Based on Competition at the High School Level in the 2022-23 School Year https://www.nfhs.org/media/7212351/2022-23 participation survey.pdf

Soccer (27,986), Softball (21,478), Tennis, (21,478), Swimming & Diving (12,889), Lacrosse (12,921)³

All these metrics demonstrate that there is enormous unmet demand for women to compete in sports and that girls and women have expressed the interest and athletic ability to play.

- 24. Sport Governing Bodies and the NCAA, for its member schools, make it very easy to see where other competitors are located. The NCAA publishes the "NCAA Sports Sponsorship and Participation Rates Report, 1956 2022" for schools to find competitors within the school's normal competitive region: NCAA Sports Sponsorship and Participation Rates Report.⁴
- 25. Rugby, Equestrian, Triathlon, and Wrestling are NCAA emerging sports. There are 163 college women's Wrestling programs, 42 NCAA schools sponsor Triathlon, 26 colleges sponsor women's varsity Equestrian, and at least 32 colleges currently sponsor women's varsity Rugby.
- 26. A review of the Troy website does not reveal that Troy undertook any athletic interest surveys or other research into interest and competition to support the addition of women's varsity sports. While surveys are never sufficient to deny women sports opportunities, they are often helpful in determining *which sports* the school should add.

Women's College Rugby: https://www.urugby.com/teams/womens-teams

Collegiate Equestrian: https://collegiateequestrian.com/sports/2020/5/6/schools.aspx

Women's Collegiate Wrestling: https://wrestlelikeagirl.org/college-opportunities

Collegiate Competitive Cheer Teams: https://www.ncsasports.org/cheerleading/colleges (not to be confused with sideline cheerleading)

Women's Collegiate Triathlon: https://www.usatriathlon.org/multisport/ncaa-triathlon

Collegiate Sailing is governed by the Inter-Collegiate Sailing Association (ICSA) with 220 schools: https://www.collegesailing.org/about/overview

Women's Collegiate Ice Hockey: https://www.uscho.com/teams/#d1women

 $Collegiate\ Field\ Hockey:\ \underline{https://www.teamusa.org/USA-Field-Hockey/PLAYERS/College/Team-Websites}$

Collegiate Synchronized/ Artistic Swimming: https://www.collegexpress.com/lists/list/colleges-with-

notable-synchronized-swimming-teams/581/

 $Collegiate\ Bowling\ \hbox{-}\ \underline{https://collegebowling.bowl.com/teams}$

Collegiate Rifle - https://competitions.nra.org/competitions/nra-national-matches/collegiate-championships/collegiate-shooting-sports-directory/

Collegiate Skiing – https://www.uscsa.org/

Collegiate Water Polo - https://collegiatewaterpolo.org/

Collegiate Women's Gymnastics https://www.ncaa.com/sports/gymnastics-women

³ See, NCAA Sports Sponsorship and Participation Rates Report (1956-57 through 2020-21) https://ncaaorg.s3.amazonaws.com/research/sportpart/2021RES SportsSponsorshipParticipationRatesReport.pdf Page 86.

⁴ See NCAA Sports Sponsorship and Participation Rates Report (1956-57 through 2020-21) https://ncaaorg.s3.amazonaws.com/research/sportpart/2022RES_SportsSponsorshipParticipationRatesReport.pdf See individual sports' governing bodies for more, e.g.,

- 27. The failure to provide women with equal opportunities to play impacts the availability of athletic scholarship dollars for women. These are important sources of funding for educational attainment that women are being denied because of their sex. If Troy provided its male and female students with the same opportunities to participate, Troy would need to add \$5,269,475 additional athletic scholarship dollars, to balance out the amount Troy provides to its male students.
- 28. If, for some unknown reason, the OCR determines that Troy provided its male and female students with the same opportunities to participate, and the student-athlete ratio is used instead of the student body, Troy would not need to add additional scholarship aid.
- 29. Troy's EADA data further indicates that the university provides inadequate and unequal funding for recruitment of female athletes. In 2021-2022, Troy spent \$276,980 on men's recruitment and only \$139,600 on women's recruitment; yet women are 64.53 percent of the full-time undergraduate population. If Troy provided women with equal opportunities to compete, as required by law, and therefore equal recruiting dollars, women's programs would have \$364,345 additional recruiting dollars.
- 30. If, for some unknown legal precedent or unknown data, Troy is not discriminating against women in providing opportunities in sport, Troy would still need to provide its women's sports teams and women's coaches with \$11,982 more recruiting dollars in order to be consistent with the school's investment in men's sports.

IV. LEGAL ALLEGATIONS

- 31. Title IX provides that "[n]o person in the United States shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance." 20 U.S.C. §1681(a).
- 32. Title IX regulations prohibit athletic programs from discriminating on the basis of sex in interscholastic, intercollegiate, club or intramural athletics offered by the institution. 34 C.F.R. §106.41(a) (2000).
- 33. Title IX regulations require institutions that offer athletics programs to provide equal athletic opportunities to members of both sexes to participate in athletics. 34 C.F.R. §106.41(c)(1).
- 34. Pursuant to the 1979 Title IX Policy Interpretation, compliance with Title IX's equal athletic participation requirement is measured by determining whether the educational institution meets one part of the following three-part test:

- 1. Prong 1: Whether intercollegiate level participation opportunities for male and female students are provided in numbers substantially proportionate to their respective enrollments; or
- 2. Prong 2: Where the members of one sex have been and are underrepresented among intercollegiate athletes, whether the institution can show a history and continuing practice of program expansion which is demonstrably responsive to the developing interests and abilities of the members of that sex; or
- 3. Prong 3: Where the members of one sex are underrepresented among intercollegiate athletes, and the institution cannot show a history and continuing practice of program expansion, as described above, whether it can be demonstrated that the interests and abilities of the members of that sex have been fully and effectively accommodated by the present program.

United States Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Office for Civil Rights, *Policy Interpretation; Title IX and Intercollegiate Athletics*, 44 Fed. Reg. 71413 (Dec. 11, 1979) [hereinafter *Policy Interpretation*].

- 35. In determining substantial proportionality under part one of the three-part test, OCR considers the number of opportunities that would have to be added to achieve proportionality and whether it would be sufficient to support another team. If there are a significant number of unaccommodated women, it is likely that a viable sport could be added and therefore the institution has not satisfied part one of the three-part test. United States Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, *Clarification of Intercollegiate Athletics Policy Guidance: the Three-Part Test* (Jan. 16, 1996) [hereinafter *1996 Clarification*].
- 36. In 1975, schools were given three years to be in compliance with the equal participation mandate under Title IX. Schools had only one year to end sex-discrimination in all other areas of Title IX's non-discrimination mandate. Only athletics gave schools three years to add athletic opportunities and to stop discriminating against women or until 1978. That deadline passed 45 years ago. 34 C.F.R. §106.41(d).⁵

It is worth noting how odd Prong 2 is as a legal test, in comparison to any other non-discrimination civil right. For a school to be able to argue that it is still playing catch-up with non-discrimination – exists nowhere else in law. This is especially true 51 years after the passage of Title IX, and 48 years after the passage of the regulations OCR depends on, that were passed by Congress. When a school uses Prong 2, it is admitting that it is providing fewer educational

Champion Women, Sex Discrimination in Athletics in Violation of Title IX, 2023

⁵ 34 C.F.R. §106.41(d) "Adjustment period. A recipient which operates or sponsors interscholastic, intercollegiate, club or intramural athletics at the elementary school level shall comply fully with this section as expeditiously as possible but in no event later than one year from the effective date of this regulation. A recipient which operates or sponsors interscholastic, intercollegiate, club or intramural athletics at the secondary or post-secondary school level shall comply fully with this section as expeditiously as possible but in no event later than three years from the effective date of this regulation."

opportunities for women, but that their discrimination is acceptable, because the school is "improving". Title IX's Prong 2 allows schools to provide girls and women with less.

- 37. Therefore, it is understandable that Prong 2 is a strict legal test. In determining whether an institution has a history and continuing practice of expansion under Prong 2 of the three-part test, OCR reviews the entire history of the athletic program and evaluates whether the institution has expanded participation opportunities for the underrepresented sex in a manner that was demonstrably responsive to their developing interests and abilities, considering a number of factors, including:
 - an institution's record of adding intercollegiate teams, or upgrading teams to intercollegiate status, for the underrepresented sex;
 - an institution's record of increasing the numbers of participants in intercollegiate athletics who are members of the underrepresented sex;
 - an institution's affirmative responses to requests by students or others for addition or elevation of sports; and
 - whether the institution has effective ongoing procedures for collecting, maintaining and analyzing information on the interest and abilities of students of the underrepresented sex, including monitoring athletic participation, and assessing interest and ability on a periodic basis.

United States Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, *Intercollegiate Athletics Policy Clarification: The Three-Part Test- Part Three* (Apr. 20, 2010) [hereinafter 2010 Clarification]; 1996 Clarification.

- 38. In determining whether an institution has a continuing practice of program expansion under Prong 2, OCR will consider a number of factors, including:
 - an institution's current implementation of a non-discriminatory policy or procedure for requesting the addition of sports (including the elevation of club or intramural teams) and the effective communication of the policy or procedure to students:
 - an institution's current implementation of a plan of program expansion that is responsive to developing interests and abilities; and
 - an institution's efforts to monitor the developing interests and abilities of the underrepresented sex, for example, by conducting periodic nondiscriminatory assessments of developing interests and abilities and taking timely actions in response to the results.

2010 Clarification, 1996 Clarification.

39. OCR will not find a history and continuing practice of program expansion where an institution increases the proportional participation opportunities for the underrepresented sex by reducing opportunities for the overrepresented sex alone or by reducing participation

opportunities for the overrepresented sex to a proportionately greater degree than for the underrepresented sex. 2010 Clarification, 1996 Clarification.

- 40. Courts have found that schools must have both a history *and* continuing practice of expanding opportunities for women for Prong 2 compliance. *Mansourian v. Bd. Of Regents of Univ. of Cal.*, 594 F. 3d 1095, 1108. (9th Cir. Cal. 2010).
- 41. Prong 3 of the three-part test requires an examination of whether there is an unmet interest in a particular sport, a sufficient ability to sustain a team in the sport, and a reasonable expectation for competition for a team in the sport. 2010 Clarification, 1996 Clarification.
- 42. Whether there is unmet interest and ability will be determined by examining a broad range of indicators, including whether the institution uses non-discriminatory methods of assessing interest and ability, the elimination of a viable team for the unrepresented sex, multiple indicators of interest and ability, and frequency of conducting assessments. 2010 Clarification.
- 43. Sufficient interest can be established by student requests to add a sport or elevate a club sport, increases in club or intramural sport participation, responses to interviews and interest surveys, assessments of student athletic participation before entering the institution or in the secondary schools from which the university draws its students, and assessments of participation in intercollegiate sports in the institution's normal competitive regions. *Id*.
- 44. Ability may be established by the athletic accomplishments and competitive experience of club sports and admitted students, the opinions of coaches, administrators, and athletes, and student participation in other sports. *Id*.
- 45. Expectation of competition may be established through athletic opportunities offered by other schools with which the school competes or opportunities at other schools in the school's geographic area, including those against which the institution does not compete. *Id.*
- 46. Under Prong 3 of the three-part test, OCR will also examine an institution's recruitment practices. If an institution recruits potential student athletes for its men's teams, OCR will examine whether the institution is providing women's teams with substantially equal opportunities to recruit potential student athletes. *Id*.
- 47. Title IX also requires schools to provide equal scholarship dollars, in proportion to the number of students of each sex participating in athletics. 34 C.F.R. §106.37(c) (2000). NCAA limits on scholarships per team is not a legal defense; schools cannot blame a third-party for sex discrimination; recipients are responsible for equality. Schools choose which schools to sponsor, and some schools have chosen scholarship-dense sports for men, such as football and basketball, and scholarship-light sports for women, such as track.
- 48. Title IX also requires equal opportunity in the recruitment of student-athletes where equal athletic opportunities are not present for male and female students. Compliance will be assessed by examining the recruitment practices of the athletic programs for both sexes and

evaluating whether the financial and other resources made available for recruitment in male and female athletic programs are equivalently adequate to meet the needs of each program. 1979 *Policy Interpretation*.

- 49. Title IX requires schools to provide women with equal treatment, including equipment and supplies; game and practice times; travel and per diem allowances; coaching and academic tutoring; assignment and compensation of coaches and tutors; locker rooms, practice and competitive facilities; medical and training facilities and services; housing and dining facilities and services; and publicity. 34 C.F.R. §106.41(a) (2000), *Policy Interpretation*.
- 50. Lack of money is not a legal defense to sex discrimination. *See, e.g., Roberts Colorado State Univ.*, 814 F. Supp. 1507, 1518 (D. Colo. 1993) ("[A] financial crisis cannot justify gender discrimination."); *Favia v Indiana University of Pennsylvania*, 812 F. Supp. 578, 585 (W.D. Pa. 1993) (finding that financial concerns alone cannot justify gender discrimination); *Cook v. Colgate University*, 802 F. Supp. 737, 750 (1992) ("[I]f schools could use financial concerns as a sole reason for disparity of treatment, Title IX would become meaningless."); *Haffer v. Temple*, 678 F. Supp. 517, 520 (1987) (finding that financial concerns alone cannot justify gender discrimination).
- 51. Monies and in-kind benefits from third-party sources, such as donors, sponsorships, television rights, ticket sales, and student fees, are not a defense to a sex discrimination charge, whether those resources were used to build facilities, fund scholarships, provide equipment and uniforms, or any other benefit of sports participation. None of those sources of money creates a legal defense against sex discrimination. Schools must ensure that their students are not receiving second-class educational opportunities because they are female. See 20 U.S.C. § 1687 (2005); See Office for Civil Rights, *Department of Education, Further Clarification of Intercollegiate Athletics Policy Guidance Regarding Title IX Compliance* (June 11, 2003), *Cohen v. Brown Univ.*, 809 F. Supp. 978, 996 (D.R.I. 1992) (concluding that "all monies spent by Brown's Athletic Department, whether originating from university coffers or from the Sports Foundation [booster club] must be evaluated as a whole under § 106.41(c)")
- 52. It is *Champion Women's* experience that most athletes and former athletes are acutely aware of all the ways they are treated as second-class within their athletics department, because they are women. As NIL monies become more available, equal promotion and publicity will be important for female athletes. *Champion Women* asked current collegiate athletes what equality would look like under this list. Here's what the athletes came up with:
 - i Men's and Women's sports would be equally featured, with equal prominence, on school and Athletic Department websites and social media.
 - ii Schools would invest equally in cameras and production equipment for Women's and Men's sports.
 - iii Schools would optimize Google searches for their Men's and Women's teams to receive equal search results.

- iv Women's and Men's sports would employ an equal caliber of talent in their sports information and marketing departments, and they would be paid and promoted equally.
- v Women's and Men's sports jerseys, apparel, and memorabilia would be equally and easily accessible.
- vi The needs of Women's teams would not revolve around Men's football and men's basketball teams.
- vii The Women's and Men's teams would have equal access to dining halls, nutrition, etc. at times equally convenient to both teams.
- viii Men's and Women's teams would have equal access to optimal practice times when they share facilities.
- ix Men's and Women's sports marketing efforts would focus on performance; Broadcasters and schools would not focus on a woman athlete's appearance or sexuality.
- x Women's and Men's medical care and athletic training access would be equal; Neither male nor female athletes would have priority accessing these resources.
- xi Schools would hire competition officials of the same quality, with equal compensation, for the Women's and Men's teams.
- xii Men's and Women's sports performance staff would be equal and would be paid and promoted comparably.
- xiii Schools would intentionally use language that equally prioritizes Men's and Women's sports.
 - 1. Teams would be referred to as "Women's Basketball" and "Men's Basketball."
 - 2. "Basketball" would not be used to refer to Men's Basketball.
 - 3. Schools would have Social Media handles that referred to men's and women's teams; "Oregon Soccer" would be changed to "Oregon Men's Soccer."
- 53. Troy's own data, as outlined in the Factual Allegations above, demonstrate that it is not providing equal opportunities for its female students to participate in sports under Title IX's three-part participation test, in addition to scholarship, treatment, and recruitment requirements.

V. RELIEF REQUESTED

54. *Champion Women* requests that OCR:

- a. <u>Investigate</u> Troy University to determine whether it is discriminating against women students; whether it is providing female students with equal participation opportunities in athletics, equal and proportionate athletic scholarship dollars, and receiving equal treatment.
- b. *Champion Women* expects an OCR investigation to find additional violations of the law. We ask the OCR to take all necessary steps to <u>remedy</u> any and all discriminatory and unlawful conduct that you identify in your investigation, as required by Title IX and its implementing regulations, and to get assurances of compliance from Troy.
- c. Among other steps to achieve compliance with Title IX, require Troy to add more athletic opportunities for women, equalize athletic scholarships, accord to additional teams and athletes the full range of benefits accorded to men's varsity teams and athletes, increase recruiting budgets and opportunities, and adopt and implement a plan to achieve compliance with Title IX.
- d. <u>Monitor</u> any resulting agreement with Troy University to ensure that the school gives men and women equal educational opportunities in athletics and that it achieves full compliance with Title IX, now and in the future.

I give the OCR my consent to disclose my name and *Champion Women's* name contained in this letter to others for OCR's investigation of, and enforcement activities related to, the Discrimination Complaint.

Nancy Hogshead, J.D., OLY September 16, 2023