

U.S. Department of Education Office for Civil Rights

Dr. Miguel Cardona
Secretary of Education, Office for Civil Rights
U.S. Department of Education
400 Maryland Avenue, SW
Washington, DC 20202
Phone (202) 401 – 3000
ocr@ed.gov

Catherine Lhamon, J.D.
Ass't Secretary, Office for Civil Rights
U.S. Department of Education
400 Maryland Avenue, SW
Washington, D.C. 20202 - 1100
Catherine.lhamon@ed.gov

Suzanne B. Goldberg, J.D.
Acting Ass't Secretary, Office for Civil Rights
U.S. Department of Education
400 Maryland Avenue, SW
Washington, DC 20202 - 1100
Suzanne.goldberg@ed.gov

ADMINISTRATIVE CLASS COMPLAINT

COMPLAINANT

Champion Women

Nancy Hogshead, J.D., OLY, CEO

EMAIL: <u>Hogshead@ChampionWomen.org</u>

RECIPIENT

University of Alabama at Birmingham Ray L. Watts, M.D., President 1720 2nd Avenue South Birmingham, AL 35294

EMAIL: president@uab.edu

Mark Ingram, Director of Athletics 1720 2nd Avenue South Birmingham, AL 53294

EMAIL: ingramAD@uab.edu

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. This complaint is filed by *Champion Women*, pursuant to Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, 20 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.* ("Title IX") and the regulations and policies promulgated thereunder. *See* 34 C.F.R. § 106 *et seq.* Title IX prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex in federally funded education programs and activities, including athletics.
- 2. Champion Women is a 501(c)(3) that provides legal advocacy for girls and women in sports.
- 3. As detailed in the Factual and Legal Allegations below, data submitted by the University of Alabama at Birmingham, ("UAB") to the Office of Postsecondary Education of the U.S. Department of Education pursuant to the Equity in Athletics Disclosure Act ("EADA"), 20 U.S.C. § 1092, as well as information collected from UAB's website indicates that UAB is discriminating on the basis of sex by providing women with unequal athletic participation opportunities, unequal athletic scholarship dollars, and unequal treatment and benefits, including athletic recruitment funding.
- 4. In order to address these disparities, *Champion Women* requests that the Office for Civil Rights ("OCR") investigate UAB to determine whether it is providing women equal opportunities to participate in varsity sports, equal opportunities to earn athletic scholarships, and equivalent treatment and benefits, including recruitment funding as required by Title IX and, if not, to remedy any unlawful conduct.

II. JURISDICTION

- 5. The OCR is responsible for ensuring compliance with Title IX and receiving information about, investigating, and remedying violations of Title IX and its implementing regulations and guidelines. 34 C.F.R. §§ 106.71, 100.7.
- 6. *Champion Women* has not filed this complaint with any other agency or institution.
- 7. As UAB currently violates Title IX's athletic equity requirements, this complaint is timely.

III. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. UAB receives federal financial assistance and is therefore prohibited from discriminating on the basis of sex pursuant to Title IX.
- 9. Data submitted by UAB to the U.S. Department of Education pursuant to the EADA that is publicly available on the Office of Postsecondary Education website for academic years 2003-04 through 2021-2022 indicates that UAB is not currently and has not in the past

been providing female athletes equal opportunities to participate in athletics under Title IX's three-part participation test.

10. *Champion Women* has edited the EADA data on our website https://titleixschools.com/ in just one instance: to remove male practice players who are counted up in the tally as "women". These male practice players are not female athletes and have therefore been subtracted from the totals in the EADA. 1

	Undergraduate Enrollment				T		Athletic Participation		
Year	Men	Women	Total	Percent Women	Men	Women Prong 2: This # should Rise Continuously and Steadily Over Time, Without Going Backwards	Total	Percent Women	Female Athletes Who Would Need to be Added to Achieve Equity
2003-04	3045	4678	7723	60.57%	202	190	392	48.47%	120
2004-05	3085	4910	7995	61.41%	215	127	342	37.13%	215
2005-06	3124	4935	8059	61.24%	204	130	334	38.92%	192
2006-07	4243	6510	10753	60.54%	230	186	416	44.71%	167
2007-08	3073	4692	7765	60.42%	203	174	377	46.15%	136
2008-09	3069	4442	7511	59.14%	203	213	416	51.20%	81
2009-10	3322	4554	7876	57.82%	195	194	389	49.87%	73
2010-11	3473	4671	8144	57.36%	199	179	378	47.35%	89
2011-12	3444	4655	8099	57.48%	216	206	422	48.82%	86
2012-13	3497	4727	8224	57.48%	207	203	410	49.51%	77
2013-14	3470	4842	8312	58.25%	214	211	425	49.65%	88
2014-15	3541	4875	8416	57.93%	239	215	454	47.36%	114
2015-16	3397	4862	8259	58.87%	100	199	299	66.56%	-56
2016-17	3668	5273	8941	58.98%	99	206	305	67.54%	-64
2017-18	3905	5725	9630	59.45%	244	225	469	47.97%	133
2018-19	3954	6035	9989	60.42%	231	209	440	47.50%	144
2019-20	4020	6252	10272	60.86%	237	243	480	50.63%	126
2020-21	3965	6387	10352	61.70%	240	242	482	50.21%	145
2021-22	3924	6442	10366	62.15%	253	237	490	48.37%	178

11. As the chart demonstrates, UAB does not and has not provided athletic opportunities to female students in numbers substantially proportionate to their enrollment pursuant to part one of the Title IX participation test. Women are 62.15% of the student body, but just 48.37% of the student-athletes.

¹ See *Champion Women* website for every college and university receiving federal funds: https://titleixschools.com/2023/05/20/eada-data/ High school data for these schools receiving federal funds is available here: https://titleixschools.com/2023/06/06/check-your-high-school/

- 12. In 2021-2022, the most recent academic year for which EADA data is available that is not corrupted by disruptions related to Covid-19, UAB's participation gap was 178 athletes. In other words, UAB must add 178 additional athletic opportunities for women in order to provide athletic opportunities substantially proportionate to enrollment; UAB currently only has 183 women athletes. If the average squad size is 25 athletes, with some teams bigger and some smaller, 178 new women's athletic opportunities equate to over seven new teams.
- 13. UAB has 253 men in its athletic department, but just 183 women. How did the gap grow so big? UAB duplicate-triple counts 54 more women athletes than it does men. This is a counting trick that keeps schools from having to add more sports for women.
- 14. The EADA data and evidence gathered on UAB's website show that UAB does not have a history and *continuing practice* of expanding athletic participation opportunities for women pursuant to Prong 2 of the Title IX participation test. See column "Women" under "Athletic Participation" above. The number of women athletes does not consistently increase over time.
- 15. For a decade between 2003 and 2015, the number of women's opportunities fluctuated narrowly, from 195 to 207 down to 199. From 2003 forward five years, the number of opportunities for women declined by 60 opportunities. From 2014 2015, women lost 16 opportunities. *Champion Women* cannot know the 28-year history of adding women's sports between 1975 and 2003, but it is unlikely to show a history of continuous program expansion that is demonstratively responsive to the growing interests of women in sport.
- 16. A review of UAB's website did not show any policy or procedure for adding new sports or elevating existing club sports to varsity status. Nor did it reveal any athletic gender equity plan or any gender equity committee.
- 17. UAB has a coed sport of Rifle, with two men and nine women. UAB sponsors 6 men's varsity sports (Baseball, Basketball, Football, Golf, Soccer, Tennis) serving 253 men, and 15 women's varsity sports (Basketball, Bowling, Golf, Track, Soccer, Softball, Tennis, and Volleyball, including Beach Volleyball) serving 183 women.
- 18. Information available on UAB's website suggests that the university is not accommodating the interests and abilities of female athletes as required by part three of the Title IX participation test.
- 19. UAB women participate in the club sports of lacrosse, swimming, rugby, and table tennis, as well as numerous other teams.² The existence of these robust women's club teams indicates that there is unmet interest in women's athletics at UAB.

² See UAB club sports: https://www.uab.edu/students/universityrecreation/programs/competitive-sports/club-sports

- 20. UAB recently left its membership in Conference USA. ("CUSA") CUSA member institutions are in Florida, Alabama, Virginia, Louisiana, Tennessee, New Mexico, Texas, and Kentucky. An institution from Georgia will join in 2024. Affiliated members are from Arkansas, Florida, Texas, Missouri, Louisiana, and Alabama. UAB will be an affiliate member of the CUSA.
- 21. University of Alabama at Birmingham is an NCAA Division I school. UAB joined the American Athletic Conference in July 2023. Members hail from Alabama, North Carolina, Tennessee, North Carolina, Texas, Pennsylvania, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Kansas. There will be abundant athletic women in this expansive competitive geographic region. Affiliate members come from Florida, Virginia, Maryland, California, and Tennessee.
- 22. The AAC sponsors women's Lacrosse, Rowing, and Swimming & Diving as Championship sports. UAB does not sponsor any of these 3 sports. These Championship teams are unique educational experiences. They also demonstrate women's interest and abilities in these sports and that competition for these women's sports exists in UAB's competitive geographic area.
- 23. Members of the AAC sponsor women's Rifle, Sailing, Equestrian, Gymnastics, and Field Hockey, 5 sports that UAB does not sponsor for women. These teams at peer-institutions demonstrate women's interest and athletic abilities in these sports and that competition for these women's sports exists in UAB's competitive geographic area.
- 24. Rugby, Wrestling, Equestrian, and Triathlon are NCAA emerging sports. There are 163 college women's Wrestling programs. 42 NCAA schools sponsor Triathlon, and 27 schools sponsor Rugby.
- 25. High schools in Alabama offer girls' and Badminton, Wrestling, Lacrosse, Gymnastics, and Weightlifting, sports that Alabama does not sponsor, despite this supply of athletes from in-state. https://www.nfhsnetwork.com/states/AL

The University of Alabama recruits its student body and its athletes from across the country and internationally.

High school-age girls in this competitive geographic region compete in both school and club sports or travel teams; sport that are not associated with a school. These include Basketball, Soccer, Golf, Tennis, Track & Field, Soccer, Volleyball, and other sports like Wrestling, Equestrian, Badminton, Table Tennis, Team Handball, Sailing, Field Hockey, Fencing, Swimming & Diving, Skateboarding, Water Polo, Archery, Field Hockey, Rifle, Triathlon, Ice Hockey, Sport Climbing, Artistic Swimming, Skiing, Snowboarding, Gymnastics, Rowing, Rugby, and all sorts of combat sports, like Judo, Karate, and Taekwondo, to name a few.

According to the NCAA,³ in 2020, only 1.3% of high school girls basketball players were provided the opportunity to play in Division I. Just 2.9% of high school Field Hockey players, 2.8% of Golfers, 8.9% of Ice Hockey players, 3.7% of Lacrosse players, 2.4% of Soccer players,

1.8% of Softball players, 3.3% of Swimmers, 1.5% of Tennis players, 2.8% of Track & Field athletes, 1.2% of Volleyball players in high school are provided opportunities to play their sport in Division I. These percentages do not include those girls and women who are competing on travel teams, club teams, or sports not associated with schools.

These metrics demonstrate that women have expressed enormous demand to compete in sports that is going unmet. Girls and women have the interest and athletic ability to play additional sports. It cannot be said that there are not women interested and athletically able to compete in many additional sports at the University of Alabama at Birmingham.

- 26. Sport Governing Bodies and the NCAA, for member schools, make it very easy to see where other competitors are located. The NCAA publishes the "NCAA Sports Sponsorship and Participation Rates Report, 1956 - 2022" for schools to find competitors within the school's normal competitive region: NCAA Sports Sponsorship and Participation Rates Report.⁴
- 27. A review of the UAB website does not reveal that UAB undertook any athletic interest surveys or other research into interest and competition to support the addition of women's varsity sports. While surveys are never sufficient to deny women sports opportunities, they are often helpful in determining which sports the school should add.
- 28. The failure to provide women with equal opportunities to play impacts the availability of athletic scholarship dollars for women. These are important sources of funding for educational attainment that women are being denied because of their sex. If UAB provided its male and female students with the same opportunities to participate, UAB would need to add

https://ncaaorg.s3.amazonaws.com/research/sportpart/2022RES_SportsSponsorshipParticipationRatesReport.pdf See individual sports' governing bodies for more, e.g.,

Women's College Rugby: https://www.urugby.com/teams/womens-teams

Collegiate Equestrian: https://collegiateequestrian.com/sports/2020/5/6/schools.aspx

Women's Collegiate Wrestling: https://wrestlelikeagirl.org/college-opportunities

Collegiate Competitive Cheer Teams: https://www.ncsasports.org/cheerleading/colleges (not to be confused with sideline cheerleading)

Women's Collegiate Triathlon: https://www.usatriathlon.org/multisport/ncaa-triathlon

Collegiate Sailing is governed by the Inter-Collegiate Sailing Association (ICSA) with 220 schools: https://www.collegesailing.org/about/overview

Women's Collegiate Ice Hockey: https://www.uscho.com/teams/#d1women

Collegiate Field Hockey: https://www.teamusa.org/USA-Field-Hockey/PLAYERS/College/Team-Websites

Collegiate Synchronized/ Artistic Swimming: https://www.collegexpress.com/lists/list/colleges-withnotable-synchronized-swimming-teams/581/

Collegiate Bowling - https://collegebowling.bowl.com/teams

Collegiate Rifle - https://competitions.nra.org/competitions/nra-national-matches/collegiatechampionships/collegiate-shooting-sports-directory/

Collegiate Skiing – https://www.uscsa.org/

Collegiate Water Polo - https://collegiatewaterpolo.org/

Collegiate Women's Gymnastics https://www.ncaa.com/sports/gymnastics-women

⁴ See NCA:

\$4,173,798 *additional* athletic scholarship dollars, to balance out the amount UAB provides to its male students.

- 29. If, for some reason, the OCR determines that UAB is, in fact, not discriminating against women in providing opportunities in sport, then UAB would still need to provide its women students participating in sports with \$40,574 more in athletic scholarship aid, to match the amount UAB provides its male athletes.
- 30. UAB's EADA data further indicates that the university provides inadequate and unequal funding for the recruitment of female athletes. In 2021-2022, UAB spent \$367,915 on recruiting men, and \$244,593 on recruiting women. Women at USB were allocated only 40 percent of the recruiting budget even though they account for 48 percent of the athletic population and 62 percent of the full-time undergraduate population. If UAB provided its women's teams with equal recruiting dollars, it would need to add \$357,354 additional dollars to women's recruiting budgets.
- 31. If, for some reason, the OCR determines that UAB is, in fact, not discriminating against women in providing opportunities in sport, UAB would still need to provide its women's sports teams and women's coaches with \$13,354 more recruiting dollars in order to be consistent with the school's investment in men's sports.

IV. LEGAL ALLEGATIONS

- 32. Title IX provides that "[n]o person in the United States shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance." 20 U.S.C. §1681(a).
- 33. Title IX regulations prohibit athletic programs from discriminating on the basis of sex in interscholastic, intercollegiate, club or intramural athletics offered by the institution. 34 C.F.R. §106.41(a) (2000).
- 34. Title IX regulations require institutions that offer athletics programs to provide equal athletic opportunities to members of both sexes to participate in athletics. 34 C.F.R. §106.41(c)(1).
- 35. Pursuant to the 1979 Title IX Policy Interpretation, compliance with Title IX's equal athletic participation requirement is measured by determining whether the educational institution meets one part of the following three-part test:
 - 1. Prong 1: Whether intercollegiate level participation opportunities for male and female students are provided in numbers substantially proportionate to their respective enrollments; or

- 2. Prong 2: Where the members of one sex have been and are underrepresented among intercollegiate athletes, whether the institution can show a history and continuing practice of program expansion which is demonstrably responsive to the developing interests and abilities of the members of that sex; or
- 3. Prong 3: Where the members of one sex are underrepresented among intercollegiate athletes, and the institution cannot show a history and continuing practice of program expansion, as described above, whether it can be demonstrated that the interests and abilities of the members of that sex have been fully and effectively accommodated by the present program.

United States Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Office for Civil Rights, *Policy Interpretation; Title IX and Intercollegiate Athletics*, 44 Fed. Reg. 71413 (Dec. 11, 1979) [hereinafter *Policy Interpretation*].

- 36. In determining substantial proportionality under part one of the three-part test, OCR considers the number of opportunities that would have to be added to achieve proportionality and whether it would be sufficient to support another team. If there are a significant number of unaccommodated women, it is likely that a viable sport could be added and therefore the institution has not satisfied part one of the three-part test. United States Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, *Clarification of Intercollegiate Athletics Policy Guidance: the Three-Part Test* (Jan. 16, 1996) [hereinafter *1996 Clarification*].
- 37. In 1975, schools were given three years to be in compliance with the equal participation mandate under Title IX. Schools had only one year to end sex-discrimination in all other areas of Title IX's non-discrimination mandate. Only athletics gave schools three years to add athletic opportunities and to stop discriminating against women or until 1978. That deadline passed 45 years ago. 34 C.F.R. §106.41(d).⁵

It is worth noting how odd Prong 2 is as a legal test, in comparison to any other non-discrimination civil right. For a school to be able to argue that it is still playing catch-up with non-discrimination – exists nowhere else in law. This is especially true 51 years after the passage of Title IX, and 48 years after the passage of the regulations OCR depends on, regulations that were passed by Congress. When a school uses Prong 2, it is admitting that it is not providing women with equal educational opportunities, but that their discrimination is acceptable, because

⁵ 34 C.F.R. §106.41(d) "Adjustment period. A recipient which operates or sponsors interscholastic, intercollegiate, club or intramural athletics at the elementary school level shall comply fully with this section as expeditiously as possible but in no event later than one year from the effective date of this regulation. A recipient which operates or sponsors interscholastic, intercollegiate, club or intramural athletics at the secondary or post-secondary school level shall comply fully with this section as expeditiously as possible but in no event later than three years from the effective date of this regulation."

the school is "improving". Title IX's Prong 2 allows schools to provide girls and women with less.

- 38. Therefore, it is understandable that Prong 2 is a very strict test. In determining whether an institution has a history and continuing practice of expansion under Prong 2 of the three-part test, OCR reviews the entire history of the athletic program and evaluates whether the institution has expanded participation opportunities for the underrepresented sex in a manner that was demonstrably responsive to their developing interests and abilities, considering a number of factors, including:
 - an institution's record of adding intercollegiate teams, or upgrading teams to intercollegiate status, for the underrepresented sex;
 - an institution's record of increasing the numbers of participants in intercollegiate athletics who are members of the underrepresented sex;
 - an institution's affirmative responses to requests by students or others for addition or elevation of sports; and
 - whether the institution has effective ongoing procedures for collecting, maintaining and analyzing information on the interest and abilities of students of the underrepresented sex, including monitoring athletic participation, and assessing interest and ability on a periodic basis.

United States Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, *Intercollegiate Athletics Policy Clarification: The Three-Part Test- Part Three* (Apr. 20, 2010) [hereinafter 2010 Clarification]; 1996 Clarification.

- 39. In determining whether an institution has a continuing practice of program expansion under Prong 2, OCR will consider a number of factors, including:
 - an institution's current implementation of a non-discriminatory policy or procedure for requesting the addition of sports (including the elevation of club or intramural teams) and the effective communication of the policy or procedure to students;
 - an institution's current implementation of a plan of program expansion that is responsive to developing interests and abilities; and
 - an institution's efforts to monitor developing interests and abilities of the underrepresented sex, for example, by conducting periodic nondiscriminatory assessments of developing interests and abilities and taking timely actions in response to the results.

2010 Clarification, 1996 Clarification.

40. OCR will not find a history and continuing practice of program expansion where an institution increases the proportional participation opportunities for the underrepresented sex by reducing opportunities for the overrepresented sex alone or by reducing participation opportunities for the overrepresented sex to a proportionately greater degree than for the

underrepresented sex. 2010 Clarification, 1996 Clarification.

- 41. Courts have found that schools must have both a history *and* continuing practice of expanding opportunities for women for Prong 2 compliance. *Mansourian v. Bd. Of Regents of Univ. of Cal.*, 594 F. 3d 1095, 1108. (9th Cir. Cal. 2010).
- 42. Prong 3 of the three-part test requires an examination of whether there is an unmet interest in a particular sport, a sufficient ability to sustain a team in the sport, and a reasonable expectation for competition for a team in the sport. 2010 Clarification, 1996 Clarification.
- 43. Whether there is unmet interest and ability will be determined by examining a broad range of indicators, including whether the institution uses non-discriminatory methods of assessing interest and ability, the elimination of a viable team for the unrepresented sex, multiple indicators of interest and ability, and frequency of conducting assessments. 2010 Clarification.
- 44. Sufficient interest can be established by student requests to add a sport or elevate a club sport, increases in club or intramural sport participation, responses to interviews and interest surveys, assessments of student athletic participation before entering the institution or in the secondary schools from which the university draws its students, and assessments of participation in intercollegiate sports in the institution's normal competitive regions. *Id.*
- 45. Ability may be established by the athletic accomplishments and competitive experience of club sports and admitted students, the opinions of coaches, administrators, and athletes, and student participation in other sports. *Id*.
- 46. Expectation of competition may be established through athletic opportunities offered by other schools with which the school competes or opportunities at other schools in the school's geographic area, including those against which the institution does not compete. *Id.*
- 47. Under Prong 3 of the three-part test, OCR will also examine an institution's recruitment practices. If an institution recruits potential student-athletes for its men's teams, OCR will examine whether the institution is providing women's teams with substantially equal opportunities to recruit potential student-athletes. *Id*.
- 48. Title IX also requires schools to provide equal scholarship dollars, in proportion to the number of students of each sex participating in athletics. 34 C.F.R. §106.37(c) (2000). NCAA limits on scholarships per team is not a legal defense; schools cannot blame a third party for sex discrimination; recipients are responsible for equality. Schools choose which sports to sponsor, and some schools have chosen scholarship-dense sports for men, such as football and basketball, and scholarship-light sports for women, such as track.
- 49. Title IX also requires equal opportunity in the recruitment of student-athletes where equal athletic opportunities are not present for male and female students. Compliance will be assessed by examining the recruitment practices of the athletic programs for both sexes and evaluating whether the financial and other resources made available for recruitment in male and

female athletic programs are equivalently adequate to meet the needs of each program. 1979 *Policy Interpretation*.

- 50. Title IX requires schools to provide women with equal treatment, including equipment and supplies; game and practice times; travel and per diem allowances; coaching and academic tutoring; assignment and compensation of coaches and tutors; locker rooms, practice, and competitive facilities; medical and training facilities and services; housing and dining facilities and services; and publicity. 34 C.F.R. §106.41(a) (2000), *Policy Interpretation*.
- 51. Lack of money is not a legal defense to sex discrimination. See, e.g., Roberts Colorado State Univ., 814 F. Supp. 1507, 1518 (D. Colo. 1993) ("[A] financial crisis cannot justify gender discrimination."); Favia v Indiana University of Pennsylvania, 812 F. Supp. 578, 585 (W.D. Pa. 1993) (finding that financial concerns alone cannot justify gender discrimination); Cook v. Colgate University, 802 F. Supp. 737, 750 (1992) ("[I]f schools could use financial concerns as a sole reason for disparity of treatment, Title IX would become meaningless."); Haffer v. Temple, 678 F. Supp. 517, 520 (1987) (finding that financial concerns alone cannot justify gender discrimination).
- 52. Monies and in-kind benefits from third-party sources, such as donors, sponsorships, television rights, ticket sales, and student fees, are not a defense to a sex discrimination charge, whether those resources were used to build facilities, fund scholarships, provide equipment and uniforms, or any other benefit of sports participation. None of those sources of money creates a legal defense against sex discrimination. Schools must ensure that their students are not receiving second-class educational opportunities because they are female. See 20 U.S.C. § 1687 (2005); See Office for Civil Rights, *Department of Education, Further Clarification of Intercollegiate Athletics Policy Guidance Regarding Title IX Compliance* (June 11, 2003), *Cohen v. Brown Univ.*, 809 F. Supp. 978, 996 (D.R.I. 1992) (concluding that "all monies spent by Brown's Athletic Department, whether originating from university coffers or from the Sports Foundation [booster club] must be evaluated as a whole under § 106.41(c)")
- 53. It is *Champion Women's* experience that most athletes and former athletes are acutely aware of all the ways they are treated as second-class within their athletics department, because they are women. As NIL monies become more available, equal promotion and publicity will be important for female athletes. *Champion Women* asked current collegiate athletes what equality would look like under this list. Here's what the athletes came up with:
 - i. Men's and Women's sports would be equally featured, with equal prominence, on school and Athletic Department websites and social media.
 - ii. Schools would invest equally in cameras and production equipment for Women's and Men's sports.
 - iii. Schools would optimize Google searches for their Men's and Women's teams to receive equal search results.

- iv. Women's and Men's sports would employ an equal caliber of talent in their sports information and marketing departments, and they would be paid and promoted equally.
- v. Women's and Men's sports jerseys, apparel, and memorabilia would be equally and easily accessible.
- vi. The needs of Women's teams would not revolve around Men's football and men's basketball teams.
- vii. The Women's and Men's teams would have equal access to dining halls, nutrition, etc. at times equally convenient to both teams.
- viii. Men's and Women's teams would have equal access to optimal practice times when they share facilities.
 - ix. Men's and Women's sports marketing efforts would focus on performance; Broadcasters and schools would not focus on a woman-athlete's appearance or sexuality.
 - x. Women's and Men's medical care and athletic training access would be equal; Neither male nor female athletes would have priority accessing these resources.
 - xi. Schools would hire competition officials of the same quality, with equal compensation, for the Women's and Men's teams.
- xii. Men's and Women's sports performance staff would be equal and would be paid and promoted comparably.
- xiii. Schools would intentionally use language that equally prioritizes Men's and Women's sports.
 - 1. Teams would be referred to as "Women's Basketball" and "Men's Basketball."
 - 2. "Basketball" would not be used to refer to Men's Basketball.
 - 3. Schools would have Social Media handles that referred to men's and women's teams; "Oregon Soccer" would be changed to "Oregon Men's Soccer."
- 54. The University of Alabama at Birmingham's own data, as outlined in the Factual Allegations above, demonstrate that it is not providing equal opportunities for its female students to participate in sports under Title IX's three-part participation test, in addition to scholarship, treatment, and recruitment requirements.

V. RELIEF REQUESTED

- 55. *Champion Women* expects OCR investigators will find additional violations of Title IX, the civil rights law guaranteeing women equal educational opportunities. *Champion Women* requests that OCR:
 - a. <u>Investigate</u> the University of Alabama at Birmingham to determine whether it is providing female students with equal participation opportunities in varsity athletics, equal and proportionate athletic scholarship dollars, and receiving equal treatment and benefits.
 - b. Take all necessary steps to <u>remedy</u> any unlawful conduct that you identify in your investigation, as required by Title IX and its implementing regulations. Secure assurances of compliance with Title IX from UAB, that include full remedies for the violations found.
 - c. Among other steps to achieve compliance with Title IX, require UAB to add women's teams to varsity status, accord to these additional teams and athletes the full range of benefits accorded to men's varsity teams and athletes, increase recruiting budgets and opportunities, scholarship dollars, and adopt and implement a plan to achieve compliance with Title IX.
 - d. <u>Monitor</u> any resulting agreement with the University of Alabama at Birmingham to ensure that the school achieves full compliance with Title IX, now and in the future.



Nancy Hogshead, J.D., OLY September 20, 2023