

U.S. Department of Education Office for Civil Rights

Dr. Miguel Cardona
Secretary of Education, Office for Civil Rights
U.S. Department of Education
400 Maryland Avenue, SW
Washington, DC 20202
Phone (202) 401 – 3000
ocr@ed.gov

Catherine Lhamon, J.D.
Ass't Secretary, Office for Civil Rights
U.S. Department of Education
400 Maryland Avenue, SW
Washington, D.C. 20202 - 1100
Catherine.lhamon@ed.gov

Suzanne B. Goldberg, J.D.
Acting Ass't Secretary, Office for Civil Rights
U.S. Department of Education
400 Maryland Avenue, SW
Washington, DC 20202 - 1100
Suzanne.goldberg@ed.gov

ADMINISTRATIVE CLASS COMPLAINT

COMPLAINANT

Champion Women

Nancy Hogshead, J.D., OLY, CEO

EMAIL: Hogshead@ChampionWomen.org

RECIPIENT

University of Nevada, Las Vegas Keith E. Whitfiled, Ph.D., President 4505 S. Maryland Parkway, Las Vegas, NV 89154

EMAIL: president@unlv.edu

Erick Harper, Director of Athletics 4505 S. Maryland Parkway, Las Vegas, NV 89154

EMAIL: erick.harper@unlv.edu

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. This complaint is filed by *Champion Women*, pursuant to Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, 20 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.* ("Title IX") and the regulations and policies promulgated thereunder. *See* 34 C.F.R. § 106 *et seq.* Title IX prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex in federally funded education programs and activities, including athletics.
- 2. Champion Women is a 501(c)(3) that provides legal advocacy for girls and women in sports.
- 3. As detailed in the Factual and Legal Allegations below, data submitted by University of Nevada, Las Vegas ("UNLV") to the Office of Postsecondary Education of the U.S. Department of Education pursuant to the Equity in Athletics Disclosure Act ("EADA"), 20 U.S.C. § 1092, as well as information collected from UNLV's website indicates that UNLV is discriminating on the basis of sex. UNLV is providing women with unequal athletic participation opportunities, unequal athletic scholarship dollars, and unequal athletic treatment and benefits, including recruitment funding for its female students.
- 4. In order to address these disparities, *Champion Women* requests that the Office for Civil Rights ("OCR") investigate UNLV to determine whether it is discriminating against women; whether it is providing women equal opportunities to participate in varsity sports, equal scholarship dollars, and equivalent treatment and benefits, including recruitment funding as required by Title IX and, if not, to remedy any unlawful conduct.

II. JURISDICTION

- 5. The OCR is responsible for ensuring compliance with Title IX and receiving information about, investigating, and remedying violations of Title IX and its implementing regulations and guidelines. 34 C.F.R. §§ 106.71, 100.7.
- 6. *Champion Women* has not filed this complaint with any other agency or institution.
- 7. As UNLV currently violates Title IX's athletic equity requirements, this complaint is timely.

III. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. UNLV receives federal financial assistance and is therefore prohibited from discriminating on the basis of sex pursuant to Title IX.
- 9. Data submitted by UNLV to the U.S. Department of Education pursuant to the EADA that is publicly available on the Office of Postsecondary Education website for academic

years 2003-04 through 2021-2022 indicates that UNLV is not currently and has not in the past been providing female athletes equal opportunities to participate in athletics under Title IX's three-part participation test.

10. Champion Women has edited the EADA data on its website https://titleixschools.com/ in just one instance: to remove male practice players who are counted up in the tally as "women". These male practice players are not female athletes and have therefore been subtracted from the totals in the EADA.

UNLV's EADA report says, "Practice players excluded from participation count - WBB (8 Male players)"

	Undergraduate Enrollment						Athletic Participation		
Year	Men	Women	Total	Percent	Men	Women	Total	Percent	Female
				Women		Prong 2: This #		Women	Athletes
						should Rise			Who
						Continuousl			Would
						y and			Need to
						Steadily			be
						Over Time, Without			Added
						Going			to
						Backwards			Achieve
2003-04	5584	7506	13090	57.34%	230	163	393	41.48%	146
2004-05	6784	8788	15572	56.43%	226	252	478	52.72%	41
2005-06	6873	8950	15823	56.56%	226	216	442	48.87%	78
2006-07	6873	8950	15823	56.56%	229	218	447	48.77%	80
2007-08	6860	8723	15583	55.98%	229	203	432	46.99%	88
2008-09	7015	8813	15828	55.68%	233	234	467	50.11%	59
2009-10	7355	9001	16356	55.03%	221	258	479	53.86%	12
2010-11	7384	9059	16443	55.09%	224	252	476	52.94%	23
2011-12	7226	8762	15988	54.80%	218	247	465	53.12%	17
2012-13	7065	8759	15824	55.35%	209	218	427	51.05%	41
2013-14	7245	9263	16508	56.11%	235	261	496	52.62%	39
2014-15	7616	9724	17340	56.08%	230	272	502	54.18%	22
2015-16	7601	9930	17531	56.64%	221	239	460	51.96%	50
2016-17	7778	10459	18237	57.35%	221	248	469	52.88%	49
2017-18	8031	10831	18862	57.42%	220	226	446	50.67%	71
2018-19	8021	10628	18649	56.99%	223	246	469	52.45%	49
2019-20	8282	11145	19427	57.37%	227	270	497	54.33%	35
2020-21	8233	11599	19832	58.49%	230	275	505	54.46%	49
2021-22	8268	11219	19487	57.57%	228	259	487	53.18%	50

¹ See *Champion Women* website for every college and university receiving federal funds: https://titleixschools.com/2023/05/20/eada-data/ High school data for these schools receiving federal funds is available here: https://titleixschools.com/2023/06/06/check-your-high-school/

- 11. UNLV does not, and has not, provided athletic opportunities to female students in numbers substantially proportionate to their enrollment pursuant to part one of the Title IX participation test. The participation gap from 2003-04 to 2021-2022 for women at UNLV ranged from 15.69 percent in 2003-04, to 15.00 percent in 2018-19, averaging 13.36 percent over the past 16 academic years. Women at UNLV are 57.57 percent of the student body, but just 53.18 percent of the athletes.
- 12. In 2021-2022, the most recent academic year for which EADA data is available that is not corrupted by disruptions related to Covid-19, UNLV's participation gap was 50 athletes. In other words, UNLV must add 50 additional athletic opportunities for women in order to provide athletic opportunities substantially proportionate to enrollment. If a new team is approximately 25 athletes, UNLV will need to add two new women's teams.
- 13. UNLV double or triple counted 68 women. UNLV double or triple counted zero men. UNLV only has 191 women in its Athletic Department; it has 228 men in its Athletic Department. Using numbers of athletes, women at UNLV represent just 45.58% of the athletes, even though they are 57.57% of the student body.
- 14. The EADA data and evidence gathered on UNLV's website show that UNLV does not have a history and continuing practice of expanding athletic participation opportunities for women pursuant to Prong 2 of the Title IX participation test. See column "Women" under "Athletic Participation" above. The number of women athletes does not consistently increase over time.
- 15. Over the 19 academic years covered by the available EADA data, UNLV has essentially kept the women's opportunities steady; from 2004, with 252 women's opportunities in 2004, 258 in 2009, 272 in 2014, and then down to 239 in 2015, and back down to the current 259 in 2021. *Champion Women* cannot know what the history of UNLV's adding and subtracting opportunities is from 1975 until 2003, a 28-year gap, but this is not a history and continuing practice of expanding athletic participation opportunities for women pursuant to Prong 2 of the Title IX participation test.
- 16. A review of UNLV's website did not show any policy or procedure for adding new sports or elevating existing club sports to varsity status. Nor did it reveal any athletic gender equity plan or any gender equity committee.
- 17. UNLV sponsors 7 men's varsity sports (Baseball, Basketball, Football, Golf, Soccer, Swimming & Diving, and Tennis) providing athletic opportunities to 228 men, and 8 women's varsity sports (Basketball, Track, Golf, Soccer, Softball, Swimming & Diving, Tennis, and Volleyball), providing athletic opportunities for 191 women.

- 18. Information available on UNLV's website suggests that the university is not accommodating the interests and abilities of female athletes as required by part three of the Title IX participation test.
- 19. UNLV women participate in the club sports of rugby, lacrosse, rowing, and field hockey. The existence of these women's club teams indicates that there is unmet interest in women's athletics at UNLV.
- 20. UNLV is a member of the Mountain West Conference, serving NCAA Division 1 programs. The Mountain West spans an enormous competitive geographic region, from Colorado, Northern and Southern California, Arizona, Idaho, Hawaii, New Mexico, and Wyoming. UNLV will have many choices regarding which new women's sports it will add.
- 21. The Mountain West sponsors women's Gymnastics as a Championship sport. UNLV does not sponsor women's Gymnastics. Championship sports are unique educational experiences. This Championship sponsorship by the Mountain West demonstrates women's interest and ability in this sport, and that competition for women's sports exists in UNLV's competitive geographic area.
- 22. Members of the Mountain West sponsor women's Beach Volleyball, Equestrian, Fencing, Lacrosse, Rifle, and Water Polo. UNLV does not sponsor any of these six sports. These women's sports demonstrate women's interest in these sports, and that competition for these women's sports exists in UNLV's competitive geographic area.
- 23. The University of Nevada Las Vegas recruits its student body and its athletes from the country and internationally.

High school aged girls in Nevada compete in several sports the University does not offer. https://www.nfhsnetwork.com/states/NV like Wrestling.

High school-age girls in the competitive geographic region compete in both school and club sports or travel teams; sports that are not associated with schools. They include sports, like Basketball, Soccer, Golf, Tennis, and Track & Field, Wrestling, Badminton, Table Tennis, Team Handball, Field Hockey, Fencing, Swimming & Diving, Skateboarding, Water Polo, Archery, Field Hockey, Rifle, Triathlon, Ice Hockey, Sport Climbing, Artistic Swimming, Skiing, Snowboarding, Gymnastics, Rowing, Rugby, and all sorts of combat sports, like Judo, Karate, and Taekwondo, to name a few.

According to the NCAA,² in 2020, only 1.3% of high school girls basketball players were provided the opportunity to play in Division I. Just 2.9% of high school Field Hockey players, 2.8% of Golfers, 8.9% of Ice Hockey players, 3.7% of Lacrosse players, 2.4% of Soccer players, 1.8% of Softball players, 3.3% of Swimmers, 1.5% of Tennis players, 2.8% of Track & Field athletes, 1.2% of Volleyball players in high school are provided opportunities to play their sport in Division I.

² NCAA: Estimated Probability of Competing in College Athletics: https://www.ncaa.org/sports/2015/3/2/estimated-probability-of-competing-in-college-athletics.aspx

These metrics demonstrate that women have expressed enormous demand to compete in sports that is unmet. Girls and women have the interest and athletic ability to play additional sports. It cannot be said that there are not women interested and athletically able to compete in many additional sports at UNLV.

- 24. Wrestling, Rugby, Equestrian, and Triathlon are NCAA emerging sports. There are 163 college women's Wrestling programs, 42 NCAA schools sponsor Triathlon, 26 colleges sponsor women's varsity Equestrian, and at least 32 colleges currently sponsor women's varsity Rugby.
- 25. Sport Governing Bodies and the NCAA, for member schools, make it very easy to see where other competitors are located. The NCAA publishes the "NCAA Sports Sponsorship and Participation Rates Report, 1956 2022" for schools to find competitors within the school's normal competitive region: NCAA Sports Sponsorship and Participation Rates Report.³
- 26. A review of the UNLV website does not reveal that UNLV undertook any athletic interest surveys or other research into interest and competition to support the addition of women's varsity sports. While surveys are never sufficient to deny women sports opportunities, they are often helpful in determining *which sports* the school should add.
- 27. The failure to provide women with equal opportunities to play impacts the availability of athletic scholarship dollars for women. Women at UNLV are 57.8 percent of the student body, but just given 45.6 percent of the athletic opportunities. These are important sources of funding for educational attainment that women are being denied because of their sex.

https://ncaaorg.s3.amazonaws.com/research/sportpart/2022RES_SportsSponsorshipParticipationRatesReport.pdf See individual sports' governing bodies for more, e.g.,

Women's College Rugby: https://www.urugby.com/teams/womens-teams

Collegiate Equestrian: https://collegiateequestrian.com/sports/2020/5/6/schools.aspx

Women's Collegiate Wrestling: https://wrestlelikeagirl.org/college-opportunities

Collegiate Competitive Cheer Teams: https://www.ncsasports.org/cheerleading/colleges (not to be confused with sideline cheerleading)

Women's Collegiate Triathlon: https://www.usatriathlon.org/multisport/ncaa-triathlon

Collegiate Sailing is governed by the Inter-Collegiate Sailing Association (ICSA) with 220 schools: https://www.collegesailing.org/about/overview

Women's Collegiate Ice Hockey: https://www.uscho.com/teams/#d1women

 $Collegiate\ Field\ Hockey: \underline{https://www.teamusa.org/USA-Field-Hockey/PLAYERS/College/Team-Websites}$

Collegiate Synchronized/ Artistic Swimming: https://www.collegexpress.com/lists/list/colleges-with-

notable-synchronized-swimming-teams/581/

Collegiate Bowling - https://collegebowling.bowl.com/teams

Collegiate Rifle - https://competitions.nra.org/competitions/nra-national-matches/collegiate-championships/collegiate-shooting-sports-directory/

Collegiate Skiing – https://www.uscsa.org/

Collegiate Water Polo - https://collegiatewaterpolo.org/

Collegiate Women's Gymnastics https://www.ncaa.com/sports/gymnastics-women

³ See NCAA:

If UNLV provided its male and female students with the same opportunities to participate, UNLV would need to add **\$4,597,696** *additional* athletic scholarship dollars, to balance out the amount UNLV provides to its male students. UNLV gives \$6,267,369 to its male athletes, but just \$3,906,611 to its women athletes.

- 28. If, for some reason, the OCR determines that UNLV is, in fact, not discriminating against women in providing opportunities in sport, then UNLV would still need to provide its women students participating in sports with \$1,343,684.96 more in athletic scholarship aid, to match the amount UNLV provides its male athletes.
- 29. UNLV's EADA data further indicates that the university provides inadequate and unequal funding for the recruitment of female athletes. In 2021-2022, UNLV spent \$1,103,030 on men's recruitment and only \$327,905 on women's recruitment. If UNLV gave women's teams equal recruiting dollars, it would need to add \$1,168,816 additional recruiting dollars to its women's teams and women's coaches.
- 30. If, for some reason, the OCR determines that UNLV is, in fact, not discriminating against women in providing opportunities in sport, UNLV would still need to provide its women's sports teams and women's coaches with \$324,382 more recruiting dollars in order to be consistent with the school's investment in men's sports.

IV. LEGAL ALLEGATIONS

- 31. Title IX provides that "[n]o person in the United States shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance." 20 U.S.C. §1681(a).
- 32. Title IX regulations prohibit athletic programs from discriminating on the basis of sex in interscholastic, intercollegiate, club or intramural athletics offered by the institution. 34 C.F.R. §106.41(a) (2000).
- 33. Title IX regulations require institutions that offer athletics programs to provide equal athletic opportunities to members of both sexes to participate in athletics. 34 C.F.R. §106.41(c)(1).
- 34. Pursuant to the 1979 Title IX Policy Interpretation, compliance with Title IX's equal athletic participation requirement is measured by determining whether the educational institution meets one part of the following three-part test:
 - 1. Prong 1: Whether intercollegiate level participation opportunities for male and female students are provided in numbers substantially proportionate to their respective enrollments; or

- 2. Prong 2: Where the members of one sex have been and are underrepresented among intercollegiate athletes, whether the institution can show a history and continuing practice of program expansion which is demonstrably responsive to the developing interests and abilities of the members of that sex; or
- 3. Prong 3: Where the members of one sex are underrepresented among intercollegiate athletes, and the institution cannot show a history and continuing practice of program expansion, as described above, whether it can be demonstrated that the interests and abilities of the members of that sex have been fully and effectively accommodated by the present program.

United States Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Office for Civil Rights, *Policy Interpretation; Title IX and Intercollegiate Athletics*, 44 Fed. Reg. 71413 (Dec. 11, 1979) [hereinafter *Policy Interpretation*].

- 35. In determining substantial proportionality under part one of the three-part test, OCR considers the number of opportunities that would have to be added to achieve proportionality and whether it would be sufficient to support another team. If there are a significant number of unaccommodated women, it is likely that a viable sport could be added and therefore the institution has not satisfied part one of the three-part test. United States Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, *Clarification of Intercollegiate Athletics Policy Guidance: the Three-Part Test* (Jan. 16, 1996) [hereinafter *1996 Clarification*].
- 36. In 1975, schools were given three years to be in compliance with the equal participation mandate under Title IX. Schools had only one year to end sex-discrimination in all other areas of Title IX's non-discrimination mandate. Only athletics gave schools three years to add athletic opportunities and to stop discriminating against women or until 1978. That deadline passed 45 years ago. 34 C.F.R. §106.41(d).⁴

It is worth noting how odd Prong 2 is as a legal test, in comparison to any other non-discrimination civil right. For a school to be able to argue that it is still playing catch-up with non-discrimination – exists nowhere else in law. This is especially true 51 years after the passage of Title IX, and 48 years after the passage of the regulations OCR depends on; regulations that were passed by Congress. When a school uses Prong 2, it is not providing women with equal educational opportunities, but is excused because the school is "improving". Title IX's Prong 2 allows schools to provide girls and women with less.

⁴ 34 C.F.R. §106.41(d) "Adjustment period. A recipient which operates or sponsors interscholastic, intercollegiate, club or intramural athletics at the elementary school level shall comply fully with this section as expeditiously as possible but in no event later than one year from the effective date of this regulation. A recipient which operates or sponsors interscholastic, intercollegiate, club or intramural athletics at the secondary or post-secondary school level shall comply fully with this section as expeditiously as possible but in no event later than three years from the effective date of this regulation."

- 37. Therefore, it is understandable that Prong 2 is a strict legal test. In determining whether an institution has a history and continuing practice of expansion under Prong 2 of the three-part test, OCR reviews the entire history of the athletic program and evaluates whether the institution has expanded participation opportunities for the underrepresented sex in a manner that was demonstrably responsive to their developing interests and abilities, considering a number of factors, including:
 - an institution's record of adding intercollegiate teams, or upgrading teams to intercollegiate status, for the underrepresented sex;
 - an institution's record of increasing the numbers of participants in intercollegiate athletics who are members of the underrepresented sex;
 - an institution's affirmative responses to requests by students or others for addition or elevation of sports; and
 - whether the institution has effective ongoing procedures for collecting, maintaining and analyzing information on the interest and abilities of students of the underrepresented sex, including monitoring athletic participation, and assessing interest and ability on a periodic basis.

United States Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, *Intercollegiate Athletics Policy Clarification: The Three-Part Test- Part Three* (Apr. 20, 2010) [hereinafter 2010 Clarification]; 1996 Clarification.

- 38. In determining whether an institution has a continuing practice of program expansion under Prong 2, OCR will consider a number of factors, including:
 - an institution's current implementation of a non-discriminatory policy or procedure for requesting the addition of sports (including the elevation of club or intramural teams) and the effective communication of the policy or procedure to students:
 - an institution's current implementation of a plan of program expansion that is responsive to developing interests and abilities; and
 - an institution's efforts to monitor developing interests and abilities of the underrepresented sex, for example, by conducting periodic nondiscriminatory assessments of developing interests and abilities and taking timely actions in response to the results.

2010 Clarification, 1996 Clarification.

39. OCR will not find a history and continuing practice of program expansion where an institution increases the proportional participation opportunities for the underrepresented sex by reducing opportunities for the overrepresented sex alone or by reducing participation opportunities for the overrepresented sex to a proportionately greater degree than for the underrepresented sex. 2010 Clarification, 1996 Clarification.

- 40. Courts have found that schools must have both a history *and* continuing practice of expanding opportunities for women for Prong 2 compliance. *Mansourian v. Bd. Of Regents of Univ. of Cal.*, 594 F. 3d 1095, 1108. (9th Cir. Cal. 2010).
- 41. Prong 3 of the three-part test requires an examination of whether there is an unmet interest in a particular sport, a sufficient ability to sustain a team in the sport, and a reasonable expectation for competition for a team in the sport. 2010 Clarification, 1996 Clarification.
- 42. Whether there is unmet interest and ability will be determined by examining a broad range of indicators, including whether the institution uses non-discriminatory methods of assessing interest and ability, the elimination of a viable team for the unrepresented sex, multiple indicators of interest and ability, and frequency of conducting assessments. 2010 Clarification.
- 43. Sufficient interest can be established by student requests to add a sport or elevate a club sport, increases in club or intramural sport participation, responses to interviews and interest surveys, assessments of student athletic participation before entering the institution or in the secondary schools from which the university draws its students, and assessments of participation in intercollegiate sports in the institution's normal competitive regions. *Id*.
- 44. Ability may be established by the athletic accomplishments and competitive experience of club sports and admitted students, the opinions of coaches, administrators, and athletes, and student participation in other sports. *Id*.
- 45. Expectation of competition may be established through athletic opportunities offered by other schools with which the school competes or opportunities at other schools in the school's geographic area, including those against which the institution does not compete. *Id.*
- 46. Under Prong 3 of the three-part test, OCR will also examine an institution's recruitment practices. If an institution recruits potential student-athletes for its men's teams, OCR will examine whether the institution is providing women's teams with substantially equal opportunities to recruit potential student-athletes. *Id*.
- 47. Title IX also requires schools to provide equal scholarship dollars, in proportion to the number of students of each sex participating in athletics. 34 C.F.R. §106.37(c) (2000). NCAA limits on scholarships per team is not a legal defense; schools cannot blame a third party for sex discrimination; recipients are responsible for equality. Schools choose which sports to sponsor, and some schools have chosen scholarship-dense sports for men, such as football and basketball, and scholarship-light sports for women, such as track.
- 48. Title IX also requires equal opportunity in the recruitment of student-athletes where equal athletic opportunities are not present for male and female students. Compliance will be assessed by examining the recruitment practices of the athletic programs for both sexes and evaluating whether the financial and other resources made available for recruitment in male and female athletic programs are equivalently adequate to meet the needs of each program. 1979 Policy Interpretation.

- 49. Title IX requires schools to provide women with equal treatment, including equipment and supplies; game and practice times; travel and per diem allowances; coaching and academic tutoring; assignment and compensation of coaches and tutors; locker rooms, practice, and competitive facilities; medical and training facilities and services; housing and dining facilities and services; and publicity. 34 C.F.R. §106.41(a) (2000), *Policy Interpretation*.
- 50. Lack of money is not a legal defense to sex discrimination. See, e.g., Roberts Colorado State Univ., 814 F. Supp. 1507, 1518 (D. Colo. 1993) ("[A] financial crisis cannot justify gender discrimination."); Favia v Indiana University of Pennsylvania, 812 F. Supp. 578, 585 (W.D. Pa. 1993) (finding that financial concerns alone cannot justify gender discrimination); Cook v. Colgate University, 802 F. Supp. 737, 750 (1992) ("[I]f schools could use financial concerns as a sole reason for disparity of treatment, Title IX would become meaningless."); Haffer v. Temple, 678 F. Supp. 517, 520 (1987) (finding that financial concerns alone cannot justify gender discrimination).
- 51. Monies and in-kind benefits from third-party sources, such as donors, sponsorships, television rights, ticket sales, and student fees, are not a defense to a sex discrimination charge, whether those resources were used to build facilities, fund scholarships, provide equipment and uniforms, or any other benefit of sports participation. None of those sources of money creates a legal defense against sex discrimination. Schools must ensure that their students are not receiving second-class educational opportunities because they are female. See 20 U.S.C. § 1687 (2005); See Office for Civil Rights, *Department of Education, Further Clarification of Intercollegiate Athletics Policy Guidance Regarding Title IX Compliance* (June 11, 2003), *Cohen v. Brown Univ.*, 809 F. Supp. 978 (D.R.I. 1992) at 996 (concluding that "all monies spent by Brown's Athletic Department, whether originating from university coffers or from the Sports Foundation [booster club] must be evaluated as a whole under § 106.41(c)")
- 52. It is *Champion Women's* experience that most athletes and former athletes are acutely aware of all the ways they are treated as second-class within their athletics department, because they are women. As NIL monies become more available, equal promotion and publicity will be important for female athletes. *Champion Women* asked current collegiate athletes what equality would look like under this list. Here's what the athletes came up with:
 - i. Men's and Women's sports would be equally featured, with equal prominence, on school and Athletic Department websites and social media.
 - ii. Schools would invest equally in cameras and production equipment for Women's and Men's sports.
 - iii. Schools would optimize Google searches for their Men's and Women's teams to receive equal search results.
 - iv. Women's and Men's sports would employ an equal caliber of talent in their sports information and marketing departments, and they would be paid and promoted equally.

- v. Women's and Men's sports jerseys, apparel, and memorabilia would be equally and easily accessible.
- vi. The needs of Women's teams would not revolve around Men's football and men's basketball teams.
- vii. The Women's and Men's teams would have equal access to dining halls, nutrition, etc. at times equally convenient to both teams.
- viii. Men's and Women's teams would have equal access to optimal practice times when they share facilities.
 - ix. Men's and Women's sports marketing efforts would focus on performance; Broadcasters and schools would not focus on a woman athlete's appearance or sexuality.
 - x. Women's and Men's medical care and athletic training access would be equal; Neither male nor female athletes would have priority accessing these resources.
 - xi. Schools would hire competition officials of the same quality, with equal compensation, for the Women's and Men's teams.
- xii. Men's and Women's sports performance staff would be equal and would be paid and promoted comparably.
- xiii. Schools would intentionally use language that equally prioritizes Men's and Women's sports.
 - 1. Teams would be referred to as "Women's Basketball" and "Men's Basketball."
 - 2. "Basketball" would not be used to refer to Men's Basketball.
 - 3. Schools would have Social Media handles that referred to men's and women's teams; "Oregon Soccer" would be changed to "Oregon Men's Soccer."
- 53. UNLV's own data, as outlined in the Factual Allegations above, demonstrate that it is not providing equal opportunities for its female students to participate in sports under Title IX's three-part participation test, in addition to scholarship, treatment and benefits, including recruitment.

V. RELIEF REQUESTED

- 54. *Champion Women* expects OCR investigators will find additional violations of the civil rights law guaranteeing women equal educational opportunities in athletics. *Champion Women* requests that OCR:
 - a. <u>Investigate</u> the University of Nevada Las Vegas to determine whether it is discriminating against women; whether it is providing female students with equal participation opportunities in athletics, equal and proportionate athletic scholarship dollars, and equal treatment, including equal recruiting budgets.
 - b. Take all necessary steps to <u>remedy</u> any unlawful conduct that it identifies in its investigation, as required by Title IX and its implementing regulations. Secure assurances of compliance with Title IX from UNLV, that include full remedies for all the violations found.
 - c. Among other steps to achieve compliance with Title IX, require UNLV to add more athletic opportunities for women, accord to additional teams and athletes the full range of benefits accorded to men's varsity teams and athletes, increase recruiting budgets and opportunities, and adopt and implement a plan to achieve compliance with Title IX.
 - d. <u>Monitor</u> any resulting agreement with the University of Nevada Las Vegas to ensure that the school achieves full and complete compliance with Title IX throughout its athletic department, now and in the future.

I give the OCR my consent to disclose my name and *Champion Women's* name contained in this letter to others for OCR's investigation of, and enforcement activities related to, the Sex Discrimination Complaint.



Nancy Hogshead, J.D., OLY September 18, 2023