

### U.S. Department of Education Office for Civil Rights

Dr. Miguel Cardona Secretary of Education, Office for Civil Rights U.S. Department of Education 400 Maryland Avenue, SW Washington, D.C. 20202 Phone (202) 401 – 3000 ocr@ed.gov

Catherine Lhamon, J.D. Ass't Secretary, Office for Civil Rights U.S. Department of Education 400 Maryland Avenue, SW Washington, D.C. 20202 - 1100 Catherine.lhamon@ed.gov

Suzanne B. Goldberg, J.D. Acting Ass't Secretary, Office for Civil Rights U.S. Department of Education 400 Maryland Avenue, SW Washington, D.C. 20202 - 1100 Suzanne.goldberg@ed.gov

#### ADMINISTRATIVE CLASS COMPLAINT

#### **COMPLAINANT**

Champion Women Nancy Hogshead, J.D., OLY, President EMAIL: <u>Hogshead@ChampionWomen.org</u>

#### **RECIPIENT**

Wake Forest University John Currie, Director of Athletics 1834 Wake Forest Rd. Winston-Salem, NC 27109 EMAIL: <u>wfuad@wfu.edu</u>

Dr. Susan R. Wente, President Winston-Salem, NC 27109 EMAIL: <u>brock@wfu.edu</u>

## I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. This complaint is filed by *Champion Women*, pursuant to Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, 20 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.* ("Title IX") and the regulations and policies promulgated thereunder. *See* 34 C.F.R. § 106 *et seq.* Title IX prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex in federally funded education programs and activities, including athletics.

2. *Champion Women* is a 501(c)(3) that provides legal advocacy for girls and women in sports.

3. As detailed in the Factual and Legal Allegations below, data submitted by Wake Forest University ("Wake Forest") to the Office of Postsecondary Education of the U.S. Department of Education pursuant to the Equity in Athletics Disclosure Act ("EADA"), 20 U.S.C. § 1092, as well as information collected from Wake Forest website indicates that Wake Forest is discriminating on the basis of sex. Wake Forest is providing women with unequal athletic participation opportunities, unequal athletic scholarship opportunities, and unequal athletic benefits and treatment, including recruitment funding.

4. In order to address these disparities, *Champion Women* requests that the Office for Civil Rights ("OCR") investigate Wake Forest to determine whether it is providing women equal opportunities in varsity sports, equal scholarship dollars, and equivalent athletic treatment and benefits, including recruitment funding as required by Title IX and, if not, to remedy any unlawful conduct.

## II. JURISDICTION

5. The OCR is responsible for ensuring compliance with Title IX and receiving information about, investigating, and remedying violations of Title IX and its implementing regulations and guidelines. 34 C.F.R. §§ 106.71, 100.7.

6. *Champion Women* has not filed this complaint with any other agency or institution.

7. As Wake Forest currently violates Title IX's athletic equity requirements, this complaint is timely.

## III. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

8. Wake Forest receives federal financial assistance and is therefore prohibited from discriminating on the basis of sex pursuant to Title IX.

9. Data submitted by Wake Forest to the U.S. Department of Education pursuant to the EADA that is publicly available on the Office of Postsecondary Education website for

academic years 2003-04 through 2021-2022 indicates that Wake Forest not currently and has not in the past been providing female athletes equal opportunities to participate in athletics under Title IX's three-part participation test.

	Undergraduate Enrollment					Athletic Participation			
Year	Men	Women	Total	Percent Women	Men	Women Prong 2: This # should Rise Continuousl y and Steadily Over Time, Without Going Backwards	Total	Percent Women	Female Athletes Who Would Need to be Added to Achieve
2003-04	1902	2028	3930	51.60%	225	130	355	36.62%	110
2004-05	1962	2030	3992	50.85%	273	172	445	38.65%	110
2005-06	2026	2112	4138	51.04%	281	165	446	37.00%	128
2006-07	2136	2196	4332	50.69%	285	162	447	36.24%	131
2007-08	2129	2218	4347	51.02%	294	184	478	38.49%	122
2008-09	2148	2248	4396	51.14%	251	134	385	34.81%	129
2009-10	2198	2313	4511	51.27%	265	145	410	35.37%	134
2010-11	2215	2372	4587	51.71%	243	138	381	36.22%	122
2011-12	2261	2459	4720	52.10%	300	172	472	36.44%	154
2012-13	2253	2488	4741	52.48%	297	177	474	37.34%	151
2013-14	2264	2488	4752	52.36%	308	187	495	37.78%	151
2014-15	2270	2533	4803	52.74%	309	179	488	36.68%	166
2015-16	2278	2527	4805	52.59%	307	201	508	39.57%	140
2016-17	2305	2594	4899	52.95%	306	183	489	37.42%	161
2017-18	2346	2700	5046	53.51%	292	183	475	38.53%	153
2018-19	2439	2732	5171	52.83%	324	212	536	39.55%	151
2019-20	2447	2792	5239	53.29%	309	198	507	39.05%	155
2020-21	2478	2889	5367	53.83%	338	220	558	39.43%	174
2021-22	2465	2922	5387	54.24%	327	215	542	39.67%	173

10. *Champion Women* has edited the EADA data on our website

<u>https://titleixschools.com/</u> in just one instance: to remove male practice players who are counted up in the tally as "women". These male practice players are not female athletes and have therefore been subtracted from the totals in the EADA.<sup>1</sup>

- Wake Forest counts ten men male practice players as women.
- In our database, we have subtracted these men from the total of women, but our charts come directly from the EADA.

*Champion Women*, Sex Discrimination in Athletics in Violation of Title IX, 2023

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See *Champion Women* website for every college and university receiving federal funds: <u>https://titleixschools.com/2023/05/20/eada-data/</u> High school data for these schools receiving federal funds is available here: <u>https://titleixschools.com/2023/06/06/check-your-high-school/</u>

- Wake Forest provides 138 women with sport.
- Wake Forest provides 281 men with sport.

11. Wake Forest does not and has not provided athletic opportunities to female students in numbers substantially proportionate to their enrollment pursuant to part one of the Title IX participation test. Women are 54.24% of the student body, but just 39.67% of the student-athletes.

12. In 2021-2022, the most recent academic year for which EADA data is available that is not corrupted by disruptions related to Covid-19, Wake Forest's participation gap was 183 athletes. The gap in the number of women is larger than the chart above due to Wake's practice of adding men as "women" in their EADA reports. While Wake's EADA report says they offer 215 athletic opportunities for women, the number is actually 205 women. In other words, Wake Forest must add 183 additional athletic opportunities for women in order to provide athletic opportunities substantially proportionate to women's enrollment; 183 additional opportunities would equate to roughly 7 new teams, assuming 25 new athletes per team – some more some less.

13. The EADA data and evidence gathered on Wake Forest's website show that Wake Forest does not have a history and continuing practice of expanding athletic participation opportunities for women pursuant to Prong 2 of the Title IX participation test. The number of women athletes does not consistently increase over time.

14. Over the 19 academic years covered by the available EADA data, Wake Forest's opportunities for women have moved up and down. By 2008-09, the total number of women athletes had actually decreased from 184 to 134, a loss of 50 athletic opportunities for women. Wake Forest did not grow women's opportunities to play sports at all from 2007 - 2017, or ten years. *Champion Women* does not know the history of Wake Forest adding sports since 1975, but Wake Forest cannot show a history of continuous program expansion that is demonstratively responsive to women's growing interests in sport.

15. A review of Wake Forest's website did not show any policy or procedure for adding new sports or elevating existing club sports to varsity status. Nor did it reveal any athletic gender equity plan or any gender equity committee.

16. Wake Forest sponsors 7 men's varsity sports (Baseball, Basketball, Football, Golf, Soccer, Tennis, Track) serving 281 men, and 7 women's varsity sports (Basketball, Field Hockey, Golf, Soccer, Tennis, Track, and Volleyball) serving 138 women.

17. Information available on Wake Forest's website suggests that the university is not accommodating the interests and abilities of female athletes as required by part three of the Title IX participation test.

18. Wake Forest women participate in the club sports of Archery, Badminton, Ballroom Dance, Basketball, Bass fishing, Boxing, Climbing, Cycling, Equestrian, Fencing, Field hockey, Golf, Gymnastics, Ice Hockey, Lacrosse, Momentum Crew, Rowing, running, Sailing, Soccer, Softball, Squash, Swimming, Tennis, Ultimate Frisbee, Volleyball, Wakeboard, and Waterskiing. <u>Club List - Campus Recreation (wfu.edu)</u> *Campus Recreation*, Wake Forest University, 2023 (last visited August 2, 2023). The existence of these women's club teams indicates that there is unmet interest in women's athletics at Wake Forest

19. Wake Forest is a member of the Atlantic Coast Conference. The ACC has 15 member institutions from 10 states; Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Kentucky, Massachusetts, New York, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, and Virginia. It is difficult to imagine a collegiate sport that would not be competing within this enormous geographic region; Wake Forest will have many choices to add more women's sports.

20. The ACC sponsors six Championship sports that Wake Forest does not offer to its women students; Fencing, Rowing, Lacrosse, Gymnastics, Softball and Swimming & Diving. The chance to compete on a conference Championship team is a unique educational experience. In addition, these teams demonstrate women's interest and athletic abilities in these sports, and that competition exists in the competitive geographic area.

21. Members of the ACC sponsor women's Beach Volleyball, Ice Hockey, Rifle, Sailing, Skiing and Squash. These are six additional sports for Wake Forest to add that are in its competitive geographic region, demonstrating women's interest and athletic abilities in these sports, and that competition exists in the competitive geographic area.

22. Wake Forest University recruits its student body and its athletes from the whole country and internationally. High school-age girls in this competitive geographic region compete in both school and club sports, some traditional sports, like Basketball, Soccer, Golf, Tennis, Track & Field, Soccer, Volleyball, and other sports like Wrestling, Badminton, Table Tennis, Team Handball, Sailing, Field Hockey, Fencing, Swimming & Diving, Skateboarding, Water Polo, Archery, Field Hockey, Rifle, Triathlon, Ice Hockey, Sport Climbing, Artistic Swimming, Skiing, Snowboarding, Gymnastics, Rowing, Rugby, and all sorts of combat sports, like Judo, Karate, and Taekwondo, to name a few.

23. Wrestling, Rugby, Equestrian, and Triathlon are NCAA emerging sports. There are 163 college women's Wrestling programs, 42 NCAA schools sponsor Triathlon, 26 colleges sponsor women's varsity Equestrian, and at least 32 colleges currently sponsor women's varsity Rugby.

24. Sport Governing Bodies and the NCAA, for member schools, make it very easy to see where other competitors are located. The NCAA publishes the "NCAA Sports Sponsorship

and Participation Rates Report, 1956 - 2022" for schools to find competitors within the school's normal competitive region: NCAA Sports Sponsorship and Participation Rates Report.<sup>2</sup>

25. A review of the Wake Forest website does not reveal that Wake Forest undertook any athletic interest surveys or other research into interest and competition to support the addition of women's varsity sports. While surveys are never sufficient to deny women sports opportunities, they are often helpful in determining *which sports* the school should add.

26. The failure to provide women with equal opportunities to play impacts the availability of athletic scholarship dollars for women. These are important sources of funding for educational attainment that women are being denied because of their sex. If Wake Forest provided its male and female students with the same opportunities to participate, Wake Forest would need to add **\$5,788,070** *additional* athletic scholarship dollars, to balance out the amount Wake Forest privileges its male students.

27. If the OCR determines that Wake Forest is providing women with equal athletic opportunities, the ratio used would be the student athletes, instead of the student body, and no more scholarship dollars would be required.

28. Wake Forest's EADA data further indicates that the university provides inadequate and unequal funding for recruitment of female athletes. In 2021-2022, Wake Forest spent \$829,927 on men's recruitment and but only allocated \$308,154 on women's recruitment; women were allocated only 27.08 percent of the recruiting budget even though they account for 38.53 percent of the athletic population and 54.24 percent of the full-time undergraduate population. If Wake Forest treated women like it does men, women's teams – and women's coaches – would have an *additional* **\$675,637** in recruiting dollars.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See

https://ncaaorg.s3.amazonaws.com/research/sportpart/2022RES\_SportsSponsorshipParticipationRatesReport.pdf See individual sports' governing bodies for more, e.g.,

Women's College Rugby: https://www.urugby.com/teams/womens-teams

 $Collegiate \ Equestrian: \ \underline{https://collegiateequestrian.com/sports/2020/5/6/schools.aspx}$ 

Women's Collegiate Wrestling: https://wrestlelikeagirl.org/college-opportunities

Collegiate Competitive Cheer Teams: <u>https://www.ncsasports.org/cheerleading/colleges</u> (not to be confused with sideline cheerleading)

Women's Collegiate Triathlon: https://www.usatriathlon.org/multisport/ncaa-triathlon

Collegiate Sailing is governed by the Inter-Collegiate Sailing Association (ICSA) with 220 schools: <u>https://www.collegesailing.org/about/overview</u>

Women's Collegiate Ice Hockey: https://www.uscho.com/teams/#d1women

Collegiate Field Hockey: <u>https://www.teamusa.org/USA-Field-Hockey/PLAYERS/College/Team-Websites</u> Collegiate Synchronized/ Artistic Swimming: <u>https://www.collegexpress.com/lists/list/colleges-with-</u>

notable-synchronized-swimming-teams/581/

Collegiate Bowling - <u>https://collegebowling.bowl.com/teams</u>

Collegiate Rifle - https://competitions.nra.org/competitions/nra-national-matches/collegiate-

championships/collegiate-shooting-sports-directory/

Collegiate Skiing - https://www.uscsa.org/

Collegiate Water Polo - https://collegiatewaterpolo.org/

Collegiate Women's Gymnastics https://www.ncaa.com/sports/gymnastics-women

Champion Women, Sex Discrimination in Athletics in Violation of Title IX, 2023

29. If, for some reason, the OCR determines that Wake Forest is, in fact, not discriminating against women in providing opportunities in sport, Wake Forest would still need to provide its women's sports teams – and women's coaches – with **\$66,679** more recruiting dollars in order to be consistent with the school's investment in men's sports.

# IV. LEGAL ALLEGATIONS

30. Title IX provides that "[n]o person in the United States shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance." 20 U.S.C. §1681(a).

31. Title IX regulations prohibit athletic programs from discriminating on the basis of sex in interscholastic, intercollegiate, club or intramural athletics offered by the institution. 34 C.F.R. §106.41(a) (2000).

32. Title IX regulations require institutions that offer athletics programs to provide equal athletic opportunities to members of both sexes to participate in athletics. 34 C.F.R. \$106.41(c)(1).

33. Pursuant to the 1979 Title IX Policy Interpretation, compliance with Title IX's equal athletic participation requirement is measured by determining whether the educational institution meets one part of the following three-part test:

- 1. Prong 1: Whether intercollegiate level participation opportunities for male and female students are provided in numbers substantially proportionate to their respective enrollments; or
- 2. Prong 2: Where the members of one sex have been and are underrepresented among intercollegiate athletes, whether the institution can show a history and continuing practice of program expansion which is demonstrably responsive to the developing interests and abilities of the members of that sex; or
- 3. Prong 3: Where the members of one sex are underrepresented among intercollegiate athletes, and the institution cannot show a history and continuing practice of program expansion, as described above, whether it can be demonstrated that the interests and abilities of the members of that sex have been fully and effectively accommodated by the present program.

United States Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Office for Civil Rights, *Policy Interpretation; Title IX and Intercollegiate Athletics*, 44 Fed. Reg. 71413 (Dec. 11, 1979) [hereinafter *Policy Interpretation*].

34. In determining substantial proportionality under part one of the three-part test, OCR considers the number of opportunities that would have to be added to achieve proportionality and whether it would be sufficient to support another team. If there are a significant number of unaccommodated women, it is likely that a viable sport could be added and therefore the institution has not satisfied part one of the three-part test. United States Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, *Clarification of Intercollegiate Athletics Policy Guidance: the Three-Part Test* (Jan. 16, 1996) [hereinafter *1996 Clarification*].

35. In 1975, schools were given three years to be in compliance with the equal participation mandate under Title IX. Schools had only one year to end sex discrimination in all other areas of Title IX's non-discrimination mandate. Only athletics gave schools three years to add athletic opportunities and to stop discriminating against women – or until 1978. That deadline passed 45 years ago. 34 C.F.R. 106.41(d).<sup>3</sup>

It is worth noting how odd Prong 2 is as a legal test, in comparison to any other nondiscrimination civil right. For a school to be able to argue that it is still playing catch-up with non-discrimination – exists nowhere else in law. This is especially true 51 years after the passage of Title IX, and 48 years after the passage of the regulations OCR depends on, regulations that were passed by Congress. When a school uses Prong 2, it is admitting that it is not providing women with equal educational opportunities, but that their discrimination is acceptable, because the school is "improving". Title IX's Prong 2 allows schools to provide girls and women with less.

36. <u>Therefore, it is understandable that Prong 2 is a strict legal test</u>. In determining whether an institution has a history and continuing practice of expansion under Prong 2 of the three-part test, OCR reviews the entire history of the athletic program and evaluates whether the institution has expanded participation opportunities for the underrepresented sex in a manner that was demonstrably responsive to their developing interests and abilities, considering a number of factors, including:

- an institution's record of adding intercollegiate teams, or upgrading teams to intercollegiate status, for the underrepresented sex;
- an institution's record of increasing the numbers of participants in intercollegiate athletics who are members of the underrepresented sex;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 34 C.F.R. §106.41(d) "*Adjustment period.* A recipient which operates or sponsors interscholastic, intercollegiate, club or intramural athletics at the elementary school level shall comply fully with this section as expeditiously as possible but in no event later than one year from the effective date of this regulation. A recipient which operates or sponsors interscholastic, intercollegiate, club or intramural athletics at the secondary or post-secondary school level shall comply fully with this section as expeditiously as possible but in no event later than three years from the effective date of this regulation."

- an institution's affirmative responses to requests by students or others for addition or elevation of sports; and
- whether the institution has effective ongoing procedures for collecting, maintaining and analyzing information on the interest and abilities of students of the underrepresented sex, including monitoring athletic participation, and assessing interest and ability on a periodic basis.

United States Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, *Intercollegiate Athletics Policy Clarification: The Three-Part Test- Part Three* (Apr. 20, 2010) [hereinafter 2010 Clarification]; 1996 Clarification.

37. In determining whether an institution has a continuing practice of program expansion under Prong 2, OCR will consider a number of factors, including:

- an institution's current implementation of a non-discriminatory policy or procedure for requesting the addition of sports (including the elevation of club or intramural teams) and the effective communication of the policy or procedure to students;
- an institution's current implementation of a plan of program expansion that is responsive to developing interests and abilities; and
- an institution's efforts to monitor developing interests and abilities of the underrepresented sex, for example, by conducting periodic nondiscriminatory assessments of developing interests and abilities and taking timely actions in response to the results.

# 2010 Clarification, 1996 Clarification.

38. OCR will not find a history and continuing practice of program expansion where an institution increases the proportional participation opportunities for the underrepresented sex by reducing opportunities for the overrepresented sex alone or by reducing participation opportunities for the overrepresented sex to a proportionately greater degree than for the underrepresented sex. *2010 Clarification*, *1996 Clarification*.

39. Courts have found that schools must have both a history *and* continuing practice of expanding opportunities for women for Prong 2 compliance. *Mansourian v. Bd. Of Regents of Univ. of Cal.*, 594 F. 3d 1095, 1108. (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. Cal. 2010).

40. Prong 3 of the three-part test requires an examination of whether there is an unmet interest in a particular sport, a sufficient ability to sustain a team in the sport, and a reasonable expectation for competition for a team in the sport. *2010 Clarification*, *1996 Clarification*.

41. Whether there is unmet interest and ability will be determined by examining a broad range of indicators, including whether the institution uses non-discriminatory methods of

assessing interest and ability, the elimination of a viable team for the unrepresented sex, multiple indicators of interest and ability, and frequency of conducting assessments. 2010 Clarification.

42. Sufficient interest can be established by student requests to add a sport or elevate a club sport, increases in club or intramural sport participation, responses to interviews and interest surveys, assessments of student athletic participation before entering the institution or in the secondary schools from which the university draws its students, and assessments of participation in intercollegiate sports in the institution's normal competitive regions. *Id.* 

43. Ability may be established by the athletic accomplishments and competitive experience of club sports and admitted students, the opinions of coaches, administrators, and athletes, and student participation in other sports. *Id.* Expectation of competition may be established through athletic opportunities offered by other schools with which the school competes or opportunities at other schools in the school's geographic area, including those against which the institution does not compete. *Id.* 

44. Under Prong 3 of the three-part test, OCR will also examine an institution's recruitment practices. If an institution recruits potential student-athletes for its men's teams, OCR will examine whether the institution is providing women's teams with substantially equal opportunities to recruit potential student-athletes. *Id*.

45. Title IX also requires schools to provide equal scholarship dollars, in proportion to the number of students of each sex participating in athletics. 34 C.F.R. §106.37(c) (2000). NCAA limits on scholarships per team is not a legal defense; schools cannot blame a third party for sex discrimination; recipients are responsible for equality. Schools choose which sports to sponsor, and some schools have chosen scholarship-dense sports for men, such as football and basketball, and scholarship-light sports for women, such as track.

46. Title IX also requires equal opportunity in the recruitment of student-athletes where equal athletic opportunities are not present for male and female students. Compliance will be assessed by examining the recruitment practices of the athletic programs for both sexes and evaluating whether the financial and other resources made available for recruitment in male and female athletic programs are equivalently adequate to meet the needs of each program. *1979 Policy Interpretation.* 

47. Title IX requires schools to provide women with equal treatment, including equipment and supplies; game and practice times; travel and per diem allowances; coaching and academic tutoring; assignment and compensation of coaches and tutors; locker rooms, practice, and competitive facilities; medical and training facilities and services; housing and dining facilities and services; and publicity. 34 C.F.R. §106.41(a) (2000), *Policy Interpretation*.

48. Lack of money is not a legal defense against sex discrimination. See, e.g., Roberts Colorado State Univ., 814 F. Supp. 1507, 1518 (D. Colo. 1993) ("[A] financial crisis cannot justify gender discrimination."); Favia v Indiana University of Pennsylvania, 812 F. Supp. 578, 585 (W.D. Pa. 1993) (finding that financial concerns alone cannot justify gender discrimination); Champion Women, Sex Discrimination in Athletics in Violation of Title IX, 2023

*Cook v. Colgate University*, 802 F. Supp. 737, 750 (1992) ("[I]f schools could use financial concerns as a sole reason for the disparity of treatment, Title IX would become meaningless."); *Haffer v. Temple*, 678 F. Supp. 517, 520 (1987) (finding that financial concerns alone cannot justify gender discrimination).

49. Monies and in-kind benefits from third-party sources, such as donors, sponsorships, television rights, ticket sales, and student fees, are not a defense to a sex discrimination charge, whether those resources were used to build facilities, fund scholarships, provide equipment and uniforms, or any other benefit of sports participation. None of those sources of money creates a legal defense against sex discrimination. Schools must ensure that their students are not receiving second-class educational opportunities because they are female. See 20 U.S.C. § 1687 (2005); See Office for Civil Rights, *Department of Education, Further Clarification of Intercollegiate Athletics Policy Guidance Regarding Title IX Compliance* (June 11, 2003), Cohen v. Brown Univ., 809 F. Supp. 978 at 996 (D.R.I. 1992) (concluding that "all monies spent by Brown's Athletic Department, whether originating from university coffers or from the Sports Foundation [booster club] must be evaluated as a whole under § 106.41(c)")

50. It is *Champion Women's* experience that most athletes and former athletes are acutely aware of all the ways they are treated as second-class within their athletics department, because they are women. As NIL monies become more available, equal promotion and publicity will be important for female athletes. *Champion Women* asked current collegiate athletes what equality would look like under this list. Here's what the athletes came up with:

- i. Men's and Women's sports would be equally featured, with equal prominence, on school and Athletic Department websites and social media.
- ii. Schools would invest equally in cameras and production equipment for Women's and Men's sports.
- iii. Schools would optimize Google searches for their Men's and Women's teams to receive equal search results.
- iv. Women's and Men's sports would employ an equal caliber of talent in their sports information and marketing departments, and they would be paid and promoted equally.
- v. Women's and Men's sports jerseys, apparel, and memorabilia would be equally and easily accessible.
- vi. The needs of Women's teams would not revolve around Men's football and men's basketball teams.
- vii. The Women's and Men's teams would have equal access to dining halls, nutrition, etc. at times equally convenient to both teams.
- viii. Men's and Women's teams would have equal access to optimal practice times when they share facilities.
- ix. Men's and Women's sports marketing efforts would focus on performance; Broadcasters and schools would not focus on a woman athlete's appearance or sexuality.

Champion Women, Sex Discrimination in Athletics in Violation of Title IX, 2023 P a g e 11 | 13

- x. Women's and Men's medical care and athletic training access would be equal; Neither male nor female athletes would have priority accessing these resources.
- xi. Schools would hire competition officials of the same quality, with equal compensation, for the Women's and Men's teams.
- xii. Men's and Women's sports performance staff would be equal and would be paid and promoted comparably.
- xiii. Schools would intentionally use language that equally prioritizes Men's and Women's sports.
  - 1. Teams would be referred to as "Women's Basketball" and "Men's Basketball."
  - 2. "Basketball" would not be used to refer to Men's Basketball.
  - Schools would have Social Media handles that referred to men's and women's teams; "Oregon Soccer" would be changed to "Oregon Men's Soccer."

51. Wake Forest's own data, as outlined in the Factual Allegations above, demonstrate that it is not providing equal opportunities for its female students to participate in sports under Title IX's three-part participation test, in addition to scholarship, treatment, and recruitment requirements.

#### V. RELIEF REQUESTED

52. *Champion Women* requests that OCR:

- a. <u>Investigate</u> Wake Forest University to determine whether it is discriminating against women; whether it is providing female students with equal educational opportunities in athletics, including participation opportunities, equal and proportionate athletic scholarship dollars, and equal treatment and benefits.
- b. Take all necessary steps to <u>remedy</u> any discriminatory and unlawful conduct that it identifies in its investigation, as required by Title IX and its implementing regulations. In addition to the areas identified here, secure assurances of compliance with Title IX from Wake Forest University, that include full remedies for all the violations found.
- c. Among other steps to achieve compliance with Title IX, require Wake Forest University to add more athletic opportunities for women, accord to additional teams and athletes the full range of benefits accorded to men's varsity teams and athletes, increase recruiting budgets and opportunities, and adopt and implement a plan to achieve compliance with Title IX.
- d. <u>Monitor</u> any resulting agreement with Waksse Forest University to ensure that the school achieves full compliance with Title IX throughout the athletic department, now and in the future.

I give OCR my consent to disclose my name and *Champion Women's* name contained in this letter to others for OCR's investigation of, and enforcement activities related to, the Discrimination Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,



Nancy Hogshead, J.D.

Date: September 8, 2023